$\checkmark$ 

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington D.C. 20549

Washington, D.C. 20549

# Form 10-Q

# QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended January 31, 2011

Commission File No. 001-31552

# **Smith & Wesson Holding Corporation**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

2100 Roosevelt Avenue Springfield, Massachusetts (Address of principal executive offices) Identification No.)

87-0543688

(I.R.S. Employer

**01104** (*Zip Code*)

(800) 331-0852

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  $\square$  No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes o No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer o  $Accelerated filer \square$ 

Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting company o (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No 🗵

The registrant had 60,255,321 shares of common stock, par value \$0.001, outstanding as of March 1, 2011.

# SMITH & WESSON HOLDING CORPORATION Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q For the Nine Months Ended January 31, 2011 TABLE OF CONTENTS

# PART I --- FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements	1
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	29
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	39
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	40
PART II - OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	40
Item 6. Exhibits	41
Signatures	42
<u>EX-31.1</u> EX-31.2	
<u>EX-32.1</u> EX-32.2	

# PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

# Item 1. Financial Statements

# SMITH & WESSON HOLDING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

As of:

	(U	ary 31, 2011 naudited)		ril 30, 2010	
	(In th	ousands, except p	ot par value and share		
ASSETS					
Current assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents, including restricted cash of \$5,812 on January 31, 2011	\$	32,594	\$	39,855	
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1,335 on	•	,	- -	,	
January 31, 2011 and \$811 on April 30, 2010		61,882		73,459	
Inventories		56,191		50,725	
Other current assets		6,862		4,095	
Deferred income taxes		13,792		11,539	
Income tax receivable		6,174		5,170	
Total current assets		177,495		184,843	
Property, plant and equipment, net		56,250		58,718	
Intangibles, net		8,859		16,219	
Goodwill				83,865	
Other assets		6,741		5,696	
	\$	249,345	\$	349,341	
	Ψ	243,545	φ	545,541	
I LADIL ITTLES AND STOCKHOL DEDS?	FOUIT	V			
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' Current liabilities:	EQUII	I			
Accounts payable	\$	21,123	\$	29,258	
Accrued expenses	φ	24,836	Φ	42,084	
Accrued payroll		5,269		9,340	
Accrued taxes other than income		2,982		2,529	
Accrued profit sharing		2,280		7,199	
Accrued product/municipal liability		2,684		2,777	
Accrued warranty		3,280		3,765	
Current portion of notes payable		30,275		5,705	
Total current liabilities		92,729		96,952	
Deferred income taxes				3,255	
		4,004			
Notes payable, net of current portion		50,000		80,000	
Other non-current liabilities		9,406		8,557	
Commitments and contingencies (Note 15)		—			
Stockholders' equity:					
Preferred stock, \$.001 par value, 20,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued					
or outstanding		—			
Common stock, \$.001 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 61,455,321					
shares issued and 60,255,321 shares outstanding on January 31, 2011 and					
61,122,031 shares issued and 59,922,031 shares outstanding on April 30,		64			
2010		61		100 522	
Additional paid-in capital Accumulated deficit		185,070		168,532	
		(85,602)		(1,693	
Accumulated other comprehensive income		73		73 (6.206	
Treasury stock, at cost (1,200,000 common shares)		(6,396)		(6,396	
Total stockholders' equity	-	93,206	-	160,577	
	\$	249,345	\$	349,341	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

# SMITH & WESSON HOLDING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME/(LOSS) (Unaudited)

	Fo	For the Three Months Ended:				For the Nine Months Ended:			
	(In thousands, excep						-		
	Jai	nuary 31, 2011	Jar	nuary 31, 2010	Jar	1uary 31, 2011	Jai	1uary 31, 2010	
Net product and services sales:		2011		2010		2011		2010	
Firearm division	\$	79,238	\$	74,734	\$	240,566	\$	267,691	
Perimeter security division	Ψ	10,099	Ψ	16,237	Ψ	39,976	Ψ	34,687	
Total net product and services sales		89,337		90,971		280,542		302,378	
Cost of products and services sold:		05,557		50,571		200,042		502,570	
Firearm division		59,847		51,910		167,118		177,982	
Perimeter security division		7,945		11,735		31,259		25,493	
Total cost of products and services sold		67,792		63,645		198,377		203,475	
Gross profit		21,545		27,326		82,165		98,903	
Operating expenses:		,	·	,					
Research and development		1,433		1,166		3,730		3,087	
Selling and marketing		9,573		8,703		28,176		24,208	
General and administrative		15,135		13,221		45,533		38,159	
Impairment of long-lived assets (Note 4)		51,008				90,503		_	
Total operating expenses		77,149		23,090		167,942		65,454	
Income/(loss) from operations		(55,604)		4,236		(85,777)		33,449	
Other income/(expense):									
Other income/(expense), net		(468)		977		3,778		11,464	
Interest income		50		91		196		333	
Interest expense		(1,453)		(1,235)		(3,659)		(3,757)	
Total other income/(expense), net		(1,871)		(167)		315		8,040	
Income/(loss) before income taxes		(57,475)		4,069		(85,462)		41,489	
Income tax (benefit)/expense		(4,639)		953		(1,553)		11,645	
Net income/(loss)/comprehensive income/(loss)	\$	(52,836)	\$	3,116	\$	(83,909)	\$	29,844	
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding, basic		60,248		59,721		60,086		57,674	
Dasic		00,240		59,721		00,000		57,074	
Net income/(loss) per share, basic	\$	(0.88)	\$	0.05	\$	(1.40)	\$	0.52	
Weighted average number of common and common equivalent shares outstanding, diluted (Note 13)		60,248		60,413		60,086		64,946	
Net income/(loss) per share, diluted (Note 13)	\$	(0.88)	\$	0.05	\$	(1.40)	\$	0.48	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

# SMITH & WESSON HOLDING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY For the Nine Months Ended January 31, 2011 (Unaudited)

				•		,						
(In thousands )		ımon ock <u>Am</u> \$	ount 61	Additional Paid-In Capital \$ 168,532		cumulated Deficit	Con	cumulated Other nprehensive Income 73	Treasur Shares 1,200	Amount		Total ckholders' Equity 160,577
Balance at April 30, 2010	61,122	Э	01	\$ 108,532	Э	(1,693)	Э	/3	1,200	\$ (6,396)	Э	160,577
Exercise of employee stock options	90		_	144		_		_	_	_		144
Fair value of shares to be issued in connection with the acquisition of Universal Safety Response, Inc. Stock-based compensation Book deduction of stock-based				15,178 976				_	_	_		15,178 976
compensation in excess of tax deductions				(245)		_		_		_		(245)
Shares issued under employee stock purchase plan	177		_	535		_		_	_	_		535
Issuance of common stock under restricted stock unit awards, net of shares surrendered	66			(50)				_	_	_		(50)
Net loss						(83,909)						(83,909)
Balance at January 31, 2011	61,455	\$	61	\$ 185,070	\$	(85,602)	\$	73	1,200	\$ (6,396)	\$	93,206

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

# SMITH & WESSON HOLDING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

	For the Nine Months Ended			nded
	Janua	nry 31, 2011	Januar	y 31, 2010
		(In tho	isands)	
Cash flows from operating activities:				
Net income/(loss)	\$	(83,909)	\$	29,844
Adjustments to reconcile net income/(loss) to net cash provided by operating				
activities (net of acquisitions):				
Amortization and depreciation		10,781		10,083
Loss on sale of assets		64		359
Provision for/(recoveries of) losses on accounts receivable		287		(403)
Impairment of long-lived assets		90,503		—
Deferred income taxes		(1,504)		3,954
Stock-based compensation expense		976		2,380
Change in contingent consideration		(3,060)		(11,668)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:				
Accounts receivable		11,290		1,716
Inventories		(5,466)		(1,960)
Other current assets		(2,150)		(503)
Income tax receivable/payable		(1,004)		(7,107)
Accounts payable		(8,135)		(7,415)
Accrued payroll		(4,071)		(143)
Accrued taxes other than income		453		(579)
Accrued profit sharing		(4,919)		(392)
Accrued other expenses		990		(10,788)
Accrued product/municipal liability		(93)		(361)
Accrued warranty		(485)		(545)
Other assets		(974)		(131)
Other non-current liabilities		849		7,323
Excess book deduction of stock-based compensation		(245)		(36)
Net cash provided by operating activities		178		13,628
Cash flat to from intracting activities				
Cash flows from investing activities:				(21.074)
Payments for the purchase of Universal Safety Response, Inc. Payments to acquire patents and software		(472)		(21,074)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment		(472) 3		(160) 23
Payments to acquire property and equipment		(6,822)		(11,033)
Net cash used for investing activities		(7,291)		(32,244)
Cash flows from financing activities:		24 520		2.050
Proceeds from loans and notes payable		24,520		2,950
Debt issue costs — bank debt		(1,052)		(20)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net of issuance costs		_		35,082
Proceeds from exercise of options to acquire common stock including employee stock purchase plan		679		694
Taxes paid related to restricted stock issuance		(50)		(124)
Payments on loans and notes payable		(24,245)		(22,743)
Net cash (used for)/provided by financing activities		(148)		15,839
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents		(7,261)		(2,777)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	*	39,855	*	39,822
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	32,594	\$	37,045
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information				
Cash paid for:				
Interest	\$	3,481	\$	3,606
Income taxes		1,884		15,565

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

#### (1) Basis of Presentation:

The consolidated balance sheet as of January 31, 2011, the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income/(loss) for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010, the consolidated statement of changes in stockholders' equity for the nine months ended January 31, 2011, and the consolidated statements of cash flows for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 have been prepared by us, without audit. The quarter end for each of our wholly owned subsidiaries, Smith & Wesson Corp. ("SWC"), Thompson Center Holding Corporation, and Universal Safety Response, Inc. ("USR"), was January 30, 2011, a one-day variance to our reported fiscal quarter end of January 31, 2011. This variance did not create any material difference in the financial statements as presented. In our opinion, all adjustments, which include only normal recurring adjustments necessary to fairly present the financial position, results of operations, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows at January 31, 2011 and for the periods presented, have been included. All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated. The consolidated balance sheet as of April 30, 2010 has been derived from our audited financial statements.

Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States have been condensed or omitted. These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2010. The results of operations for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 may not be indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending April 30, 2011 or any other period.

#### Reclassification

Certain amounts presented in the prior periods' consolidated financial statements related to cash flow presentation have been reclassified to conform to the current period's presentation.

#### (2) Organization:

We are a U.S.-based global provider of products and services for safety, security, protection, and sport. We are one of the world's leading manufacturers of firearms. We manufacture a wide array of pistols, revolvers, tactical rifles, hunting rifles, black powder firearms, handcuffs, and firearm-related products and accessories for sale to a wide variety of customers, including gun enthusiasts, collectors, hunters, sportsmen, competitive shooters, individuals desiring home and personal protection, law enforcement and security agencies and officers, and military agencies in the United States and throughout the world. We are the largest manufacturer of handguns and handcuffs in the United States, the largest U.S. exporter of handguns, and a participant in the tactical and hunting rifle markets that we entered in 2006 and 2007. We are also a leading turnkey provider of perimeter security solutions to protect and control access to key military, governmental, and corporate facilities. Our perimeter security solutions include technology-rich proprietary products developed and produced by us and supplemented by industry-leading, third-party products produced to our specifications, as well as facility analysis, solution design, system engineering and installation, customer training, and system maintenance. We manufacture our firearm products at our facilities in Springfield, Massachusetts; Houlton, Maine; and Rochester, New Hampshire. We manufacture and assemble our perimeter security products at our facilities in Franklin, Tennessee. In addition, we pursue opportunities to license our trademarks to third parties for use in association with their products and services.

We were incorporated on June 17, 1991 in the state of Nevada.

On May 11, 2001, we acquired all of the outstanding capital stock of SWC from Tomkins Corporation, an affiliate of U.K.-based Tomkins PLC. SWC and its predecessors have been in business since 1852.

On January 3, 2007, we acquired all of the outstanding capital stock of Thompson Center Holding Corporation (formerly Bear Lake Acquisition Corp.) and its subsidiaries (collectively, "Thompson/Center Arms"), including Thompson/Center Arms Company, Inc. ("TCA").

On July 20, 2009, we acquired all of the outstanding capital stock of USR. Two of USR's former stockholders originally dissented to the acquisition. On November 17, 2009, we settled with these former stockholders on the same terms as those given to the other former stockholders of USR in the acquisition. The initial purchase price was \$59,253, which consisted of \$21,062 in cash and \$38,191 in common stock paid at closing. The stock was valued based on our closing stock price on the date issued, with 5,492,286 shares issued at a stock price of \$6.86 and 107,714 shares issued at a stock price of \$4.77. In addition, the former stockholders of USR had the right to earn up to 4,080,000 additional shares of our common stock if USR achieved certain EBITDAS targets, as defined, in calendar years 2009 and 2010. As of the closing date of the acquisition, this contingent consideration was assigned a fair value of

\$27,824, or 4,001,522 shares at the closing share price of \$6.86 on July 20, 2009 and 78,478 shares at the closing share price of \$4.77 on November 17, 2009. This valuation was established in accordance with the *Business Combinations Topic*, Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 805-20-25-20. Based on USR's actual calendar year 2009 results, no additional shares were earned or paid for calendar 2009 results as EBITDAS for that period was below the \$8,000 threshold to achieve a distribution. On August 19, 2010, we entered into a waiver and amendment to the merger agreement to waive the achievement of the EBITDAS target for the 2010 calendar year as a condition to the issuance of the 4,080,000 earn-out shares, and instead agreed to issue the 4,080,000 shares to the former stockholders of USR on March 18, 2011. Effective August 19, 2010, this liability was adjusted to the fair value of \$15,178 (based on the closing price of \$3.72 per share as of such date) and reclassified to equity. The \$3,060 in income associated with the reduction in the contingent consideration versus the value recorded on April 30, 2010 for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 has been recorded as other income. See Note 3 below for unaudited pro forma income statement information related to this acquisition.

USR, based in Franklin, Tennessee, provides turnkey perimeter security solutions to protect and control access to key military, governmental, and corporate facilities. Our acquisition of USR was designed to leverage USR's business, product line, and broad customer base to expand into new markets in the security industry.

#### (3) USR Acquisition:

During our fourth quarter of fiscal 2010, we recorded adjustments to the opening balance sheet accounts related to our acquisition of USR. The adjustments arose from a change in accounting estimates for percentage of completion jobs, an accrual for loss-making contracts, and the related revaluation of outstanding backlog. The effect of these entries was to increase goodwill, increase deferred revenue, increase unbilled receivables, and reduce backlog. In addition, these entries resulted in the requirement for us to adjust the quarterly information previously presented in our fiscal 2010 quarterly filings. The effect of this adjustment for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2010 was as follows:

	For the Three	Months Ended J	January 31,	For the Nin	e Months Ended J	anuary 31,
Income Statement Accounts	As Reported	As Adjusted	Difference	As Reported	As Adjusted	Difference
Net product and services sales	\$ 89,379	\$ 90,971	\$ 1,592	\$ 300,424	\$ 302,378	\$ 1,954
Cost of products and services						
sold	64,363	63,645	(718)	205,222	203,475	(1,747)
Gross profit	25,016	27,326	2,310	95,202	98,903	3,701
Income from operations	1,926	4,236	2,310	29,747	33,449	3,702
Income before income taxes	1,759	4,069	2,310	37,786	41,489	3,703
Income tax expense/(benefit)	(621)	953	1,574	9,528	11,645	2,117
Net income/comprehensive						
income	2,380	3,116	736	28,258	29,844	1,586
Balance Sheet Accounts	As Reported	As Adjusted	Difference			
Accounts receivable	\$ 57,233	\$ 56,996	\$ (237)			
Deferred income taxes	12,198	12,195	(3)			
Income tax receivable	6,506	4,290	(2,216)			
Total current assets	164,944	162,488	(2,456)			
Intangibles, net	16,207	16,107	(100)			
Goodwill	80,507	83,865	3,358			
Total assets	323,353	324,154	801			
Accrued expenses	18,885	20,037	1,152			
Total current liabilities	60,196	61,348	1,152			
Deferred income taxes	4,333	1,809	(2,524)			
Other non-current liabilities	23,765	24,352	587			
Accumulated deficit	(5,945)	(4,359)	1,586			
Total stockholders' equity	155,059	156,645	1,586			
Total liabilities and						
stockholders' equity	323,353	324,154	801			

There have been no changes to the purchase price in any fiscal 2011 periods. See Note 4 regarding the impairment of goodwill and long-lived assets recorded during the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011.

Additionally, the following table reflects the unaudited pro forma results of operations assuming that the USR acquisition had occurred on May 1, 2009:

	Fo	r the Nine	
	Months Ende		
Description	Janu	ary 31, 2010	
Net product and services sales	\$	308,063	
Net income		29,324	
Net income per share		0.51	

The pro forma net income has been adjusted to reflect amortization of intangibles as if the acquisition had occurred on the first day of the corresponding fiscal year. No attempt has been made to adjust the income statement impact of the fair value of the contingent consideration liability that was recorded during the nine months ended January 31, 2010.

#### (4) Significant Accounting Policies:

*Revenue Recognition* — For our firearm products, we recognize revenue when the following four basic criteria have been met: (1) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; (2) delivery has occurred or services have been provided; (3) the fee is fixed or determinable; and (4) collection is reasonably assured. For our perimeter security products and services, we recognize revenue from fixed-price contracts using the percentage-of-completion method, measured by the percentage of costs incurred to date to our total expected costs for each contract.

Product sales account for a substantial portion of our firearm revenue. We recognize revenue from firearm product sales when the earnings process is complete and the risks and rewards of ownership have transferred to the customer, which is generally upon shipment. We also provide tooling, forging, heat treating, finishing, plating, and engineering support services to customers. We recognize this revenue when the services are accepted by the customer, when no further contingencies or material performance obligations exist, and when collectability is reasonably assured, thereby earning us the right to receive and retain payments for services performed and billed.

We determine percentage-of-completion by comparing the cost incurred to date to the estimated total cost required to complete the project. We consider costs incurred to date to be the most reliable, available measure of progress on these projects. We make adjustments to estimates to complete in the periods in which facts resulting in a change become known. When the estimate indicates that a loss will be incurred, we record the loss in the period in which it is identified. When reliable estimates cannot be made, we recognize revenue upon completion. Significant judgment is involved in the estimation process for each contract. Different assumptions could yield materially different results. Delays in the installation process could negatively affect operations in a given period by increasing volatility in revenue recognition. Recognition of revenue in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires us to make judgments that affect the timing and amount of reported revenue.

We recognize trademark licensing revenue for individual licensees on a quarterly basis based on historical experience and expected cash receipts from licensees. This revenue consists of minimum royalties and/or a percentage of a licensee's sales on licensed products. Under our current licensing agreements, this revenue is payable on a calendar quarter basis. We recognize non-refundable license fees received upon the initial signing of license agreements as revenue when no future obligation is required on our part. As a result of a combination of uncertain factors regarding existing licensees, including current and past payment performance, market acceptance of the licensee's product, and insufficient historical experience, we believe that reasonable assurance of collectability does not exist based on the results and past payment performance of licensees in general. Therefore, we do not recognize minimum royalty payments upon contract signing, but instead record royalty revenue monthly when the minimum royalty can be reasonably estimated for that month and payment is assured.

*Use of Estimates* — The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the financial statement dates and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. Our significant estimates include gross margin and percentage of completion on in-process perimeter security projects, accruals for warranty, product liability, workers' compensation, environmental liability, excess and obsolete inventory, forfeiture rates on stock-based awards, asset impairments, and medical claims payable. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Accounting for Acquisitions — We completed a significant business acquisition in fiscal 2010, which resulted in significant goodwill and other intangible asset balances. Our business strategy contemplates that we may consummate additional acquisitions in the future. Our accounting for acquisitions involves significant judgments and estimates, including the fair value of certain forms of consideration, the fair value of acquired intangible assets, which involve projections of future revenue and cash flows, the fair value of other acquired assets and assumed liabilities, including potential contingencies, the useful lives of the assets, and, as applicable, the reporting unit of the assets. Our financial position or results of operations may be materially impacted by changes in our initial assumptions and estimates relating to prior or future acquisitions. Additionally, we determine the fair value of the reporting unit, for purposes of the first step in our annual goodwill impairment test, based on an income approach. If, as occurred in fiscal 2011, prior or future acquisitions are not accretive to our results of operations as expected or our market value declines dramatically, we may be required to complete the second step, which requires significant judgments and estimates and which may result in material impairment charges in the period in which they are determined (see *Valuation of Long-lived Tangible and Intangible Assets and Goodwill* below).

Segment Information — Information regarding our segments is presented in Note 18.

Valuation of Long-lived Tangible and Intangible Assets and Goodwill — We have significant long-lived tangible and intangible assets, which are susceptible to valuation adjustments as a result of changes in various factors or conditions. The most significant long-lived tangible and intangible assets are fixed assets, developed technology, patents, trademarks, and tradenames. We amortize all finite-lived intangible assets either on a straight-line basis or based upon patterns in which we expect to utilize the economic benefits of such assets. With the exception of goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives, we initially determine the values of intangible assets by a risk-adjusted, discounted cash flow approach. We assess the potential impairment of identifiable intangible assets and fixed assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying values may not be recoverable. Factors we consider important, which could trigger an impairment of such assets, include the following:

- significant underperformance relative to historical or projected future operating results;
- significant changes in the type or use of the assets or the strategy for our overall business;
- significant negative industry or economic trends;
- significant decline in our stock price for a sustained period; and
- a decline in our market capitalization below net book value.

Future adverse changes in these or other unforeseeable factors could result in an impairment charge that would materially impact future results of operations and financial position in the reporting period identified.

We test goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives for impairment on an annual basis as of the end of our third fiscal quarter and between annual tests if indicators of potential impairment exist. The impairment test compares the fair value of the reporting unit to its carrying amount, including goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives, to assess whether impairment is present. We have reviewed the provisions of ASC 280-10 with respect to the criteria necessary to evaluate the number of reporting units that exist. Based on our review of the *Segment Reporting Topic*, ASC 280-10-50, we have determined that we operate in three reporting units: one for our Springfield, Massachusetts and Houlton, Maine facilities; a second for our Rochester, New Hampshire facility; and a third for USR. We have determined that we operate in two segments: one for our firearm companies and a second for our perimeter security subsidiary, USR.

We periodically review long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in business circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be fully recoverable or that the useful lives of those assets are no longer appropriate. Each impairment test is based on a comparison of the undiscounted cash flows to the recorded carrying value for the asset. If impairment is indicated, the asset is written down to its estimated fair value based on a discounted cash flow analysis. Based on a combination of factors occurring during fiscal 2011, including the economic environment, changes in government funding, and changes in our internal management and sales structure, we determined that indicators for impairment of goodwill and intangible assets existed in our perimeter security reporting unit. As a result, we conducted an evaluation of these assets pursuant to the guidance above. Based on lower than anticipated projected sales volume, operating profits, and cash flows in this reporting unit, the earnings forecast for the next ten years was revised during the quarter ended October 31, 2010. Based on a continued economic downturn and aggressive actions by our competitors, we re-evaluated the forecast for the next ten years during the three months ended January 31, 2011. The fair value of this reporting unit was estimated using the income approach. Based on such re-evaluation, during the three months ended October 31, 2010 and January 31, 2011, we recorded impairment losses related to goodwill of \$38,595 and \$45,270, respectively. During the three months ended October 31, 2010 and January 31, 2011, we recorded impairment losses related to intangible assets with definite and indefinite lives of \$899 and \$5,738, respectively. During the nine months ended January 31, 2011, we recorded an impairment loss related to goodwill of \$83,865 and intangible assets with definite and indefinite lives of \$6,638. See Note 7 --- Goodwill and Intangible Assets.

We utilize an income approach, with discounted cash flows, to estimate the fair value of each reporting unit. We selected this method because we believe that it most appropriately measures our income producing assets. We considered using the market approach and the cost approach, but concluded that they were not appropriate in valuing our reporting units given the lack of relevant and available market comparisons. The income approach is based on the projected cash flows that are discounted to their present value using discount rates that consider the timing and risk of the forecasted cash flows. We believe that this approach is appropriate because it provides a fair value estimate based upon the reporting units' expected long-term operating cash performance. This approach also mitigates the impact of the cyclical trends that occur in our businesses. We estimate fair value using internally developed forecasts and assumptions. The discount rate used is the average estimated value of a market participant's cost of capital and debt, derived using customary market metrics. Other significant assumptions include terminal value margin rates, future capital expenditures, and changes in future working capital requirements. We also compare and reconcile our overall fair value to our market capitalization. While there are inherent uncertainties related to the assumptions used and to our application of these assumptions to this analysis, we believe that the income approach provides a reasonable estimate of the fair value of our reporting units. The foregoing assumptions were consistent with our long-term performance, with limited exceptions. We have assumed that our future investments for capital expenditures as a percent of revenue will decline in future years because of our improved utilization resulting from cost-saving initiatives, and we believe that days sales outstanding will decline with growth and expand with contraction. We also have assumed that through this economic downturn, our markets have not contracted for the long term; however, it may be a number of years before the markets fully recover. These assumptions could deviate materially from actual results.

Significant judgments and estimates are involved in determining the useful lives of our long-lived assets, determining what reporting units exist, and assessing when events or circumstances would require an interim impairment analysis of goodwill or other long-lived assets to be performed. Changes in our organization or our management reporting structure, as well as other events and circumstances, including technological advances, increased competition, and changing economic or market conditions, could result in (a) shorter estimated useful lives, (b) additional reporting units, which may require alternative methods of estimating fair values or greater disaggregation or aggregation in our analysis by reporting unit, and (c) other changes in previous assumptions or estimates. A change in the weighted average cost of capital, for example, could materially change the valuation and, if increased, could cause impairment. In turn, this could have an additional impact on our consolidated financial statements through accelerated amortization and impairment charges.

#### (5) Notes Payable:

*Credit Facilities* — Pursuant to a credit agreement, dated November 30, 2007, we, as guarantor, along with certain of our direct and indirect subsidiaries, including SWC and TCA, as borrowers, refinanced our existing credit facility to, among other things, increase our acquisition line of credit to \$70,000 and consolidate and increase our revolving lines of credit to \$40,000. In May 2008, we utilized proceeds from our 2008 stock offering to repay the \$28,000 outstanding balance on the acquisition line and terminated the acquisition line. Pursuant to an amendment of the credit agreement dated January 31, 2008, TD Bank, N.A. ("TD Bank") became the sole lender and successor administrative agent under our credit facility. This amendment also documented the termination of the acquisition line of credit, increased our fiscal 2009 second and third quarter leverage ratio to 3.25:1, and released the security interest on our intellectual property. Pursuant to a second amendment of the credit agreement dated March 12, 2009, we modified our leverage ratio to 3.25:1 for quarters ending after April 30, 2010. Pursuant to a third amendment of the credit agreement dated July 20, 2009, we added USR as a co-borrower and pledged the assets associated with that business as security for the obligations under the credit facility. On December 1, 2009, we paid in full our two term loans with \$4,814 cash from operations. Pursuant to a fourth amendment of the credit agreement dated December 3, 2009, we increased our revolving line of credit to \$60,000 and extended the agreement to November 30, 2013. Pursuant to a fifth amendment of the credit agreement dated December 7, 2010, we increased our revolving line of credit to \$120,000, removed the accounts receivable and inventory borrowing base limitations, and extended the agreement to December 7, 2014.

The credit facility provides for availability until December 7, 2014 for working capital needs. The revolving line of credit bears interest at LIBOR or a variable rate equal to prime, at our election. As of January 31, 2011, there were no borrowings outstanding. Had there been borrowings, they would have borne an interest rate of 5.0% per annum.

As security for the credit facility, TD Bank has a first priority lien on all of our personal property and real estate assets.

We may prepay in whole or in part any of the loans that have interest rates determined by reference to the prime rate, with interest accrued to the date of the prepayment on the amount prepaid, without any penalty or premium. Loans with a fixed rate of interest determined by reference to the LIBOR interest rate may be prepaid provided that we reimburse TD Bank for any costs associated with (i) our making payments on dates other than those specified in the credit agreement, or (ii) our borrowing or converting a LIBOR loan on a date other than the borrowing or conversion dates specified in the credit agreement. We received a waiver of the 2% prepayment penalty associated with our repayment of the acquisition line of credit, as described above.

*Convertible Debt* — On December 15, 2006, we issued an aggregate of \$80,000 of 4% senior convertible notes (the "Convertible Notes") maturing on December 15, 2026 to qualified institutional buyers pursuant to the terms and conditions of a securities purchase agreement and indenture. We used the net proceeds from the Convertible Notes, together with \$28,000 from our acquisition line of credit, to fund our acquisition of Thompson/Center Arms. As noted below, we have exchanged a total of \$50,000 of the Convertible Notes for \$50,000 of Senior Notes (as defined below).

The Convertible Notes bear interest at a rate of 4% per annum payable on June 15 and December 15 of each year.

Holders of the Convertible Notes may require us to repurchase all or part of their Convertible Notes on December 15, 2011, December 15, 2016, or December 15, 2021 and in the event of a fundamental change in our company, as defined in the indenture governing the Convertible Notes.

The Convertible Notes were convertible into shares of our common stock, initially at a conversion rate of 81.0636 shares per \$1 principal amount, or a total of 6,485,084 shares, which is equivalent to an initial conversion price of \$12.336 per share.

As of January 31, 2011, taking into account the January 14 Exchange (as defined below), the remaining outstanding Convertible Notes are convertible into a total of 4,608,058 shares. The Convertible Notes may be converted at any time. Until December 15, 2011, we may redeem all or a portion of the Convertible Notes at the redemption price of 100% of the principal amount of the Convertible Notes plus accrued and unpaid interest only if the closing price of our common stock exceeds 150% of the then applicable conversion price of the Convertible Notes for no fewer than 20 trading days in any period of 30 consecutive trading days. After December 15, 2011, we may redeem all or a portion of the Convertible Notes.

The Convertible Notes are our general unsecured obligations, ranking senior in right of payment to our subordinated indebtedness and ranking pari passu with all other unsecured and unsubordinated indebtedness. Until such time that the closing price of our common stock exceeds 200% of the then applicable conversion price of the Convertible Notes for at least 30 trading days in any period of 40 consecutive trading days, we agreed not to incur any additional indebtedness in excess of the greater of (1) \$60,000 available under our credit facility, and (2) three times LTM EBITDA (as defined in the indenture governing the Convertible Notes) at the time such additional debt is incurred and including any amounts outstanding under our credit facility.

We evaluated the conversion features of the Convertible Notes and determined no beneficial conversion feature existed and that there are no features of the instruments requiring bifurcation.

Senior Notes — On January 14, 2011, we issued an aggregate of \$23,155 of our new 9.5% senior notes due 2016 ("Senior Notes") to two investors in exchange for \$23,155 of the Convertible Notes (the "January 14 Exchange"), pursuant to the terms and conditions of an exchange agreement (the "Exchange Agreement") and indenture (the "Senior Notes Indenture"). On February 10, 2011 and March 3, 2011, we issued an aggregate of \$16,788 and \$10,057, respectively, of Senior Notes to additional investors in exchange for \$16,788 and \$10,057, respectively, of the Convertible Notes pursuant to the terms and conditions of additional exchange agreements (the "Supplemental Exchange Agreements") and the Senior Notes Indenture. As a result, we have exchanged a total of \$50,000 of the Convertible Notes for \$50,000 of Senior Notes. In accordance with ASC 470-10-45-14, we have classified the full \$50,000 as long-term debt on our balance sheet as of January 31, 2011.

The Senior Notes bear interest at a rate of 9.5% per annum payable on June 15 and December 15 of each year.

At any time prior to January 14, 2014, we may, at our option (a) redeem all or a portion of the Senior Notes at a redemption price of 100% of the principal amount of the Senior Notes, plus an applicable premium, plus accrued and unpaid interest as of the redemption date, or (b) redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the Senior Notes with the net cash proceeds of one or more equity offerings at a redemption price of 104.75% of the principal amount of the Senior Notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest as of the redemption date; provided that in the case of clause (b) above, at least 65% of the aggregate original principal amount of the Senior Notes remains outstanding, and the redemption occurs within 60 days after the closing of the equity offering. On and after January 14, 2014, we may, at our option, redeem all or a portion of the Senior Notes at the redemption price of (1) 104.75% of the principal amount of the Senior Notes at the redemption price of (2) 100% of the principal amount of the Senior Notes to be redeemed, if redeemed during the 12-month period beginning on January 14, 2014; or (2) 100% of the principal amount of the Senior Notes to be redeemed, if redeemed during the 12-month period beginning on January 14, 2014; or certain restrictions and conditions, we may be required to make an offer to repurchase the Senior Notes from the holders of the Senior Notes in connection with a change of control or disposition of assets. If not redeemed by us or repaid pursuant to the holders' right to require repurchase, the Senior Notes mature on January 14, 2016.

The Senior Notes are general unsecured obligations of our company. The Senior Notes Indenture contains certain affirmative and negative covenants, including limitations on restricted payments, limitations on indebtedness, limitations on the sale of assets, and limitations on liens.

The limitation on indebtedness in the Senior Notes Indenture is only applicable at such time that the consolidated coverage ratio (as set forth in the Senior Notes Indenture) for us and our restricted subsidiaries is less than 2.00 to 1.00. In general, as set forth in the Senior Notes Indenture, the consolidated coverage ratio is determined by comparing our prior four quarters' consolidated EBITDA (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization) to our consolidated interest expense.

We evaluated the January 14 Exchange as a modification event under ASC 470-60. Because we are not experiencing financial difficulties, the January 14 Exchange was not accounted for as troubled debt restructuring. Consequently, we evaluated each January 14 Exchange under ASC 470-50, "*Debtor's Accounting for a Modification or Exchange of Debt Instruments*" to determine if the modification was substantial. Because the conversion feature was removed in the January 14 Exchange, the debt modification was determined to be substantial and, accordingly, the exchanged Convertible Notes were extinguished. The fair value of the Senior Notes were equal to the carrying value of the exchanged Convertible Notes; therefore, no gain or loss on extinguishment was recorded. In accordance with ASC 470-50, we amortized \$232 of deferred finance costs associated with the extinguishment to interest expense.

The credit agreement with TD Bank contains financial covenants relating to maintaining maximum leverage and minimum debt service coverage. The indenture governing the Convertible Notes contains a financial covenant relating to maximum additional indebtedness. The Senior Notes Indenture contains a financial covenant relating to maximum additional indebtedness. We were in compliance with these debt covenants as of January 31, 2011.

#### (6) Inventories:

The following sets forth a summary of inventories, stated at the lower of cost or market, as of January 31, 2011 and April 30, 2010:

	nuary 31, 2011 (Unaudited)		il 30, 2010
Finished goods	\$ 21,654	\$	20,623
Finished parts	17,048		13,235
Work in process	7,856		9,187
Raw material	9,633		7,680
Total inventories	\$ 56,191	\$	50,725

# (7) Goodwill and Intangible Assets:

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill during the nine months ended January 31, 2011 were as follows:

Balance as of April 30, 2010	\$	83,865
Impairment loss (Note 4)	_	(83,865)
Balance as of January 31, 2011	\$	_



Intangible assets consisted of the following as of January 31, 2011 and April 30, 2010:

	Histo	rical Value	 airment lote 4)	ary 31, 2011 naudited)	Apri	l 30, 2010
Developed technology	\$	3,830	\$ (617)	\$ 3,213	\$	3,830
Customer relationships		500	(347)	153		500
Patents, trademarks, and tradenames		13,040	(5,674)	7,366		12,664
Software		536	—	536		435
Backlog		2,400	—	2,400		2,400
		20,306	 (6,638)	 13,668		19,829
Less: Accumulated amortization		(4,809)	—	(4,809)		(3,610)
Total intangible assets, net	\$	15,497	\$ (6,638)	\$ 8,859	\$	16,219

#### (8) Accrued Expenses:

Accrued expenses consisted of the following as of January 31, 2011 and April 30, 2010:

	January 31, 2011			
	(Ur	audited)	Apri	l 30, 2010
Accrued rebates and promotions	\$	4,074	\$	2,589
Accrued professional fees		5,348		4,175
Accrued employee benefits		2,694		2,769
Accrued distributor incentives		3,501		5,758
Accrued environmental		109		80
Interest payable		395		1,192
Accrued workers' compensation		584		544
Accrued utilities		459		483
Accrued contingent consideration (Note 2)				18,238
Deferred revenue		2,458		2,817
Accrued other		5,214		3,439
Total accrued expenses	\$	24,836	\$	42,084

#### (9) Advertising Costs:

We expense advertising costs, primarily consisting of magazine advertisements, printed materials, and television advertisements, as incurred. For the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010, advertising expense was approximately \$11,760 and \$11,629, respectively.

# (10) Warranty Reserve:

We generally provide a lifetime warranty to the "original" purchaser of our new firearm products. We provide for estimated warranty obligations in the period in which we recognize the related revenue. We quantify and record an estimate for warranty-related costs based on our actual historical claims experience and current repair costs. We make adjustments to accruals as warranty claim data, product recalls, and historical experience warrant. Should we experience actual claims and repair costs that are higher than the estimated claims and repair costs used to calculate the provision, our operating results for the period or periods in which such additional costs materialize would be adversely impacted. Warranty expense for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$2,104 and \$2,065, respectively.

The following sets forth the change in accrued warranty, a portion of which is recorded as a non-current liability, in the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010:

	Janua	ry 31, 2011	Janua	ary 31, 2010
Beginning Balance	\$	4,588	\$	5,335
Liabilities assumed in the acquisition of USR				58
Warranties issued and adjustments to provisions		2,104		2,065
Warranty claims		(2,659)		(2,827)
Ending Balance	\$	4,033	\$	4,631

#### (11) Self-Insurance Reserves:

As of January 31, 2011 and April 30, 2010, we had reserves for workers' compensation, product liability, municipal liability, and medical/dental costs totaling \$9,905 and \$9,694, respectively, of which \$4,993 and \$4,760, respectively, have been classified as noncurrent and included in other non-current liabilities, and the remaining amounts of \$4,912 and \$4,934, respectively, have been included in current liabilities on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. In addition, \$536 of excess workers' compensation receivable has been classified as other assets. While we believe these reserves to be adequate, it is possible that the ultimate liabilities will exceed such estimates. Amounts charged to expense were \$9,038 and \$7,902 for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

It is our policy to provide an estimate for loss as a result of expected adverse findings or legal settlements on product liability, municipal liability, workers' compensation, and other matters when such losses are probable and are reasonably estimable. It is also our policy to accrue for reasonably estimable legal costs associated with defending such litigation. While such estimates involve a range of possible costs, we determine, in consultation with litigation counsel, the most likely cost within such range on a case-by-case basis. We also record receivables from insurance carriers relating to these matters when their collection is probable. At January 31, 2011 and April 30, 2010, we had product liability and municipal litigation reserves of \$5,704 and \$5,760, respectively, consisting entirely of estimated legal defense costs, of which \$3,020 and \$2,983, respectively, have been included in other non-current liabilities, and the remaining amounts of \$2,684 and \$2,777, respectively, have been included in current liabilities on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. In addition, at each of January 31, 2011 and April 30, 2010, we had recorded receivables from insurance carriers related to these liabilities of \$2,060, of which \$2,035 has been classified as other assets and the remaining \$25 has been classified as other current assets.

#### (12) Plant Consolidation:

On December 8, 2010, we implemented a restructuring plan in our firearm division to move production of our hunting product line to our Springfield, Massachusetts facility and to close our Rochester, New Hampshire facility. The closure of our Rochester, New Hampshire facility will result in the termination or relocation of all employees of such facility and an increase in the number of employees in our Springfield, Massachusetts facility by approximately 225 full-time equivalents. We will incur major capital expenditures relating to moving equipment and processes from Rochester, New Hampshire to Springfield, Massachusetts, improving production efficiencies, tooling for new product offerings, and various projects designed to increase capacity and upgrade manufacturing technology. We expect to complete this restructuring plan by November 2011.

During the three and nine months ended January 31, 2011, we recorded \$973 in expenses related to facilities and employee severance. As of January 31, 2011, we had recorded \$802 of restructuring expenses in costs of goods sold, excluding the impact of reduced productivity and efficiencies in our Rochester, New Hampshire facility, and \$171 in operating expenses during the nine months ended January 31, 2011. We expect to incur an additional \$1,591 in expenses in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011, bringing the total amount incurred in connection with our restructuring plan to approximately \$1,487 for employee severance and relocation expenses and approximately \$1,077 for facilities-related expenses during the fiscal year ending April 30, 2011. We expect to incur approximately \$3,997 in restructuring expenses in the fiscal year ending April 30, 2012.

The following table summarizes the restructuring liabilities accrued for and changes in those amounts during the nine months ended January 31, 2011 for the plan discussed above (in thousands):

	Severa Term	ployee ance and nination nefits	 ilities- ed Costs
Balance at April 30, 2010	\$		\$ 
Costs incurred during the period		631	342
Costs paid or settled during the period		(2)	(301)
Balance at January 31, 2011 (Unaudited)	\$	629	\$ 41

On December 21, 2010, in accordance with the Economic Development Incentive Program of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, we were awarded a refundable economic incentive tax credit ("ITC") by the Economic Assistance Coordinating Council in conjunction with our plan discussed above. The ITC is calculated as 40% of qualified capital expenditures placed in service and allows for a refundable tax credit from the Commonwealth of Massachusetts of up to \$4,400 during the fiscal year ending April 30, 2011. As of January 31, 2011, we placed in service \$1,541 of qualified assets and recorded \$617 of ITC as a contra asset account included in Property, Plant, and Equipment.

#### (13) Stockholders' Equity:

#### **Common Stock**

During the nine months ended January 31, 2011, options or warrants were exercised and common stock issued as follows:

- (a) We issued 90,334 shares of common stock having a market value of \$330 to former employees upon the exercise of options granted to them while employed at our company. The purchase price of these shares was \$144.
- (b) In September 2010, we issued 176,761 shares of common stock under our Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("ESPP"). The purchase price of these shares was \$535.

#### Earnings per Share

The following table provides a reconciliation of the income/(loss) amounts and weighted average number of common and common equivalent shares used to determine basic and diluted earnings per share for the three months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 (all numbers in thousands except per share data):

	For the Three Months Ended January 31,								
		2011			2010				
	Net		Per Share	Net		Per Share			
	Loss	Shares	Amount	Income	Shares	Amount			
Basic earnings/(loss)	\$ (52,836)	60,248	\$ (0.88)	\$ 3,116	59,721	\$ 0.05			
Effect of dilutive stock options and									
warrants	—	—			692				
Diluted earnings/(loss)	\$ (52,836)	60,248	\$ (0.88)	\$ 3,116	60,413	\$ 0.05			

For the three months ended January 31, 2011, 6,138,242 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the Convertible Notes, 4,044,070 shares of common stock reserved for issuance to the former stockholders of USR and 1,404,737 shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of stock options and the delivery of restricted stock units ("RSUs") were excluded from the computation of diluted loss per share because the effect would be antidilutive. For the three months ended January 31, 2010, 6,485,084 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the Notes and 1,073,064 shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of stock options and the computation of diluted earnings per share because the effect would be antidilutive.

The following table provides a reconciliation of the income/(loss) amounts and weighted average number of common and common equivalent shares used to determine basic and diluted earnings per share for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 (all numbers in thousands except per share data):

	For the Nine Months Ended January 31,									
		2011			2010					
	Net		Per Share	Net		Per Share				
	Loss	Shares	Amount	Income	Shares	Amount				
Basic earnings/(loss)	\$ (83,909)	60,086	\$ (1.40)	\$ 29,844	57,674	\$ 0.52				
Effect of dilutive stock options and										
warrants	—	—	—		787					
Effect of assumed conversion of										
convertible debt				1,487	6,485	(0.04)				
Diluted earnings/(loss)	\$ (83,909)	60,086	\$ (1.40)	\$ 31,331	64,946	\$ 0.48				

For the nine months ended January 31, 2011, 6,369,470 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the Convertible Notes, 2,420,670 shares of common stock reserved for issuance to the former stockholders of USR, and 1,542,824 shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of stock options and the delivery of RSUs were excluded from the computation of diluted loss per share because the effect would be antidilutive. For the nine months ended January 31, 2010, 583,200 shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of stock options and the delivery of RSUs were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share because the effect would be antidilutive.

#### Stock Warrants Issued and Repurchased

On September 12, 2005, we issued warrants to purchase 1,200,000 shares of our common stock to investors as part of a private placement offering. We also issued warrants to purchase 120,000 shares of our common stock to the placement agent. The warrants issued to investors had an expiration date of September 2006, and all such warrants were exercised prior to expiration. In June 2007, the placement agent exercised warrants to purchase 50,000 shares of our common stock on a net exercise cashless basis, netting 34,857 shares. The remaining warrants to purchase 70,000 shares of our common stock expired unexercised on September 12, 2010.

The following outlines the activity related to the warrants for the periods indicated:

	For the Nine Months Ended January 31,									
	2011					2010				
	Number of Shares	Average		Number of Shares	A	ighted- verage cise Price				
Warrants outstanding, beginning of the period	70,000	\$	4.36	70,000	\$	4.36				
Warrants expired during the period	(70,000)	_	(4.36)		_	_				
Warrants outstanding, end of the period		\$		70,000	\$	4.36				
Warrants exercisable, end of the period		\$		70,000	\$	4.36				
Weighted average remaining life	0.0 years			0.6 years						

#### Stock Option and Employee Stock Purchase Plans

We have two stock option plans (the "SOPs"): the 2001 Stock Option Plan and the 2004 Incentive Stock Plan. New grants under the 2001 Stock Option Plan have not been made since the approval of the 2004 Incentive Stock Plan at our September 13, 2004 annual meeting of stockholders. All new grants covering all participants are issued under the 2004 Incentive Stock Plan.

The 2004 Incentive Stock Plan authorizes the issuance of the lesser of (1) 15% of the shares of our common stock outstanding from time to time; or (2) 10,000,000 shares of our common stock. The plan permits the grant of options to acquire common stock, restricted common stock and deferred stock, RSUs, stock appreciation rights, and dividend equivalents. Our board of directors, or a committee established by our board, administers the SOPs, selects recipients to whom awards are granted, and determines the grants to be awarded. Options granted under the SOPs are exercisable at a price determined by our board or committee at the time of grant, but in no event less than fair market value of our common stock on the date granted. Grants of options may be made to employees and directors without regard to any performance measures. All options issued pursuant to the SOPs are nontransferable and subject to forfeiture.

Unless terminated earlier by our board of directors, the 2004 Incentive Stock Plan will terminate on the earlier of (1) ten years from the date of the later to occur of (i) the original date the plan was approved by our board of directors or our stockholders, whichever is earlier, or (ii) the date an increase in the number of shares reserved for issuance under the plan is approved by our board of directors (so long as such increase is also approved by our stockholders), and (2) such time as no shares of common stock remain available for issuance under the plan and our company has no further rights or obligations with respect to outstanding awards under the plan. The date of grant of an award is deemed to be the date upon which our board of directors or board committee authorizes the granting of such award. Generally, awards vest over a period of three years and are exercisable for a period of ten years. The plan also permits the grant of awards to non-employees, which the board has granted in the past. A separate option grant, outside of the 2004 Incentive Stock Plan, for 500,000 shares was made in connection with the hiring of our President and Chief Executive Officer during the fiscal year ended April 30, 2005. These options expire on December 6, 2014.

The number of shares and weighted average exercise prices of (i) options granted under the SOPs and (ii) the separate option grant to our President and Chief Executive Officer outside of the SOPs for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 are as follows:

	For the Nine Months Ended January 31,								
	20	11		20	10				
			ighted- erage			ighted- erage			
	Shares	Р	rice	Shares	Exerc	ise Price			
Options outstanding, beginning of year	3,207,264	\$	4.93	2,428,263	\$	4.76			
Granted during year	735,600		3.86	900,500		5.19			
Exercised during year	(90,334)		1.60	(126,499)		1.51			
Canceled/forfeited during year	(490,798)		4.89	—		_			
Options outstanding, end of period	3,361,732	\$	4.79	3,202,264	\$	5.01			
Options exercisable, end of period	2,215,374	\$	4.98	1,883,600	\$	4.45			

The aggregate intrinsic value of outstanding options that were vested as of January 31, 2011 and 2010 were \$1,582 and \$2,132, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value of outstanding options that were exercisable as of January 31, 2011 and 2010 were \$1,582 and \$2,123, respectively.

We have an ESPP, which authorizes the sale of up to 10,000,000 shares of our common stock to employees. The ESPP commenced on June 24, 2002 and continues in effect for a term of ten years unless sooner terminated. The ESPP was implemented by a series of offering periods of two years in duration, with four six-month purchase periods in the offering period. The ESPP was amended in September 2004 so that future offering periods, commencing with the October 1, 2004 offering period, are six months, consistent with the six-month purchase period. The purchase price is 85% of the fair market value of our common stock on the offering date or on the purchase date, whichever is lower. A participant may elect to have payroll deductions made on each payday during the offering period in an amount not less than 1% and not more than 20% (or such greater percentage as the board may establish from time to time before an offering date) of such participant's compensation on each payday during the offering period is the purchase date for such offering period. An offering period commencing on April 1 ends on the next September 30. An offering period commencing on October 1 ends on the next March 31. Our board of directors has the power to change the duration and/or the frequency of offering and purchase periods with respect to future offerings and purchases without stockholder approval if such change is announced at least five days prior to the scheduled beginning of the first offering period to be affected. The maximum number of shares an employee may purchase during each purchase period is 12,500 shares or a total of \$25 in shares, based on the fair market value on the first day of the purchase period.

All options and rights to participate in the ESPP are nontransferable and subject to forfeiture in accordance with the ESPP guidelines. In the event of certain corporate transactions, each option outstanding under the ESPP will be assumed or an equivalent option will be substituted by the successor corporation or a parent or subsidiary of such successor corporation. During the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010, 176,761 and 113,208 shares were purchased under the ESPP, respectively.

We measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of an equity instrument based on the grant-date fair value of the award. We calculate the fair value of our stock options and warrants issued to employees using the Black-Scholes model at the time the options and warrants were granted. That amount is then amortized over the vesting period of the option or warrant. With our ESPP, fair value is determined at the beginning of the purchase period and amortized over the term of the offering period.

The following assumptions were used in valuing our options and ESPP purchases during the nine-month periods ended January 31, 2011 and 2010:

	For the Nine Months	Ended January 31,
	2011	2010
Stock option grants:		
Risk-free interest rate	1.31 - 2.47%	3.42 - 3.80%
Expected term	5.36 – 9.0 years	7.08 – 10.0 years
Expected volatility	69.5 - 76.4%	76.0 - 76.9%
Dividend yield	0%	0%
Employee Stock Purchase Plan:		
Risk-free interest rate	0.19%	0.18%
Expected term	6 months	6 months
Expected volatility	40.1%	71.4%
Dividend yield	0%	0%

We estimate expected volatility using historical volatility for the expected term. The fair value of each stock option or ESPP purchase was estimated on the date of the grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model (using the risk-free interest rate, expected term, expected volatility, and dividend yield variables, as noted in the above table). The weighted-average fair value of stock options granted during the nine months ended January 31, 2011 was \$2.64 per share. There were 735,600 and 900,500 options granted during the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The total stock-based compensation expense, including stock options, purchases under the ESPP, and RSU awards, was \$976 and \$2,379 for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Stock-based compensation expense is included in general and administrative expenses.

During the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010, we granted 127,700 RSUs and 47,400 RSUs to current and former employees, respectively. These RSUs were granted to certain of our named executive officers and vest based on the relative performance of our stock price against the NASDAQ Composite Index over a three-year period. The aggregate fair market value of our RSU grants is being amortized to compensation expense over the vesting period (three years). During the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010, we issued 66,195 and 141,157 shares of common stock, respectively, under RSUs that had vested during such periods with a total market value of \$267 and \$680, respectively. During the nine months ended January 31, 2011, we cancelled an aggregate of 134,999 performance-based RSUs, consisting of (i) 53,333 performance-based RSUs previously granted to our President and Chief Executive Officer, as financial targets associated with these RSUs will not be met for the fiscal year ending April 30, 2011, (ii) 40,000 performance-based RSUs previously granted to our former Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, (iii) 15,000 performance-based RSUs previously granted to our former President of the Perimeter Security Division, and (iv) 26,666 performance-based RSUs previously granted to our President and Chief Executive Officer, as financial targets associated with these RSUs were not met for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2010. Compensation expense recognized related to grants of RSUs, excluding the \$905 impact of the 134,999 canceled performance-based RSUs previously granted to current and former employees named above, was \$246 for the nine months ended January 31, 2011. We recognized compensation expense of \$301 for the nine months ended January 31, 2010 related to grants of RSUs. As of January 31, 2011, there was \$327 of unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested RSUs, much of which relates to performance-based shares. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average of 2.2 years.

#### Stockholder Rights Plan

On August 9, 2005, we adopted a stockholder rights plan (the "Rights Plan"). Under the Rights Plan, we made a dividend distribution of one preferred share purchase right (a "Right") for each outstanding share of common stock. The dividend is payable to stockholders of record at the close of business on August 26, 2005. Each Right entitles the registered holder to purchase from us one one-thousandth of a share of our Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, par value \$.001 per share (the "Preferred Stock"), at a price of \$36.00 per one one-thousandth of a share of Preferred Stock, subject to adjustment. The description and terms of the Rights are set forth in a Rights Agreement dated as of August 25, 2005, as the same may be amended from time to time, between us and Interwest Transfer Company, Inc., as Rights Agrent.

In general, until the earlier to occur of (i) ten days following a public announcement that a person or group of affiliated or associated persons (with certain exceptions) has acquired beneficial ownership of 15% or more of the outstanding shares of our common stock or (ii) ten business days (or such later date as may be determined by action of our board of directors prior to such time as any person or group of affiliated persons becomes an "Acquiring Person") following the commencement of, or announcement of an intention to make, a tender offer or exchange offer the consummation of which would result in the beneficial ownership by a person or group of 15% or more of the then outstanding shares of our common stock, the Rights will be evidenced, with respect to any of the common stock certificates outstanding as of August 25, 2005, by such common stock certificates together with a copy of a summary describing the Rights. As of January 31, 2011, we have not had any such changes that would have resulted in the execution of the Rights Plan.

#### (14) Income Taxes:

We use an asset and liability approach for financial accounting and reporting of income taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on temporary differences between financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and are measured by applying enacted tax rates and laws to the taxable years in which differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

At January 31, 2011, we had gross tax-affected unrecognized tax benefits of approximately \$1,290, all of which, if recognized, would favorably impact our effective tax rate. Included in the unrecognized tax benefits at January 31, 2011 was approximately \$216 and \$185, respectively, of accrued interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions, which have been recorded in other non-current liabilities as none of these positions are expected to reverse in the next 12 months.

The full value of our unrecognized tax benefits has been classified as non-current income tax liabilities because a payment of cash is not anticipated within one year of the balance sheet date. In fiscal 2011, we expect to incur additional interest on outstanding tax accounts. We do not expect this change to be material. Interest and penalties related to income tax liabilities are included in income tax expense.

With limited exception, we are subject to U.S. federal, state, local, and non-U.S. income tax audits by tax authorities for several years.

# (15) Commitments and Contingencies:

#### Litigation

We, together with certain related organizations, are a co-defendant in various legal proceedings involving product liability claims and are aware of other product liability claims, including allegations of defective product design, manufacturing, negligent marketing, and/or distribution of firearms leading to personal injury. The lawsuits and claims are based principally on the theory of "strict liability," but also may be based on negligence, breach of warranty, and other legal theories. In many of the lawsuits, punitive damages, as well as compensatory damages, are demanded. Aggregate claimed amounts currently exceed product liability accruals and, if applicable, insurance coverage. We believe that the various allegations as described above are unfounded, and, in addition, that any accident and any results from them were due to negligence or misuse of the firearm by the claimant or a third party and that there should be no recovery against us.

In addition, we are a co-defendant in legal proceedings brought by the City of Gary, Indiana against numerous firearm manufacturers, distributors, and dealers seeking to recover damages allegedly arising out of the misuse of firearms by third parties in shootings. The city's complaint seeks money damages, among other things, for the costs of investigating crime, preventing crime, costs of medical care, police and emergency services, and decreases in property values. In addition, nuisance abatement and/or injunctive relief is sought to change the design, manufacture, marketing, and distribution practices of the various defendants. The suit alleges public nuisance, negligent distribution and marketing, and negligent design. We believe that the various allegations as described above are unfounded, and, in addition, that any accidents and any results from them were due to negligence or misuse of the firearm by a third party and that there should be no recovery against us.

We and certain of our officers and directors were named in three similar purported securities class action lawsuits, which were subsequently consolidated into one action. The plaintiffs seek damages for alleged violations of Section 10(b) and Section 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). The certified consolidated action consists of a class of persons that purchased our securities between June 15, 2007 and December 6, 2007. We believe that the various allegations as described above are without merit. This matter is described in further detail below.

In addition, we are involved in several purported stockholder derivative lawsuits. These actions were brought by putative plaintiffs on behalf of our company against certain of our officers and directors. The lawsuits are based principally on a theory of breach of fiduciary duties. The putative plaintiffs seek unspecified damages on behalf of our company from the individual defendants, and recovery of their attorneys' fees.

We are vigorously defending ourselves in the lawsuits. There can be no assurance, however, that we will not have to pay significant damages or amounts in settlement above insurance coverage. An unfavorable outcome or prolonged litigation could harm our business. Litigation of this nature also is expensive and time consuming, and diverts the time and attention of our management.

We monitor the status of known claims and the product liability accrual, which includes amounts for defense costs for asserted and unasserted claims. While it is difficult to forecast the outcome of these claims, we believe, after consultation with litigation counsel, that it is uncertain whether the outcome of these claims will have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows. We believe that we have provided adequate reserves for defense costs. We do not anticipate material adverse judgments and intend to vigorously defend ourselves.

At this time, an estimated range of reasonably possible additional losses relating to unfavorable outcomes cannot be made.

We have recorded our liability for defense costs before consideration for reimbursement from insurance carriers. We have also recorded the amount due as reimbursement under existing policies from the insurance carriers as a receivable shown in other current assets and other assets.

## New Cases

The following new cases were filed against us during the three months ended January 31, 2011.

Art Bundy v. Smith & Wesson Holding Corp., et al.; and Dwight Nance v. Smith & Wesson Holding Corp., et al., in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts. These actions were filed on or about January 24, 2011. These are purported derivative actions brought by two separate plaintiffs on behalf of our company against certain of our officers and directors. The complaints allege that the officer and director defendants have breached their fiduciary duties by providing misleading statements concerning the company's earnings and business prospects for fiscal 2008. The complaints also assert that between June 14, 2007 and December 6, 2007, the officer and director defendants provided false statements about the company's financial results. The putative plaintiffs seek unspecified damages on behalf of our company from the individual defendants, and recovery of their attorneys' fees.

*Charles Quasté v. Smith & Wesson Corporation*, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. On January 14, 2011, the plaintiff filed an Amended Complaint asserting claims for compensatory damage stemming from an injury allegedly sustained by the plaintiff while using a Model 460XVR revolver. The Amended Complaint asserts claims for negligence, strict liability, and breach of warranty. On February 11, 2011, we filed an Answer to the Amended Complaint denying liability.

*Cybergun, S.A. v. Smith & Wesson Holding Corporation and Smith & Wesson Corporation,* in the Commercial Court of Paris, France. The complaint, which was filed on or about January 13, 2011, alleges unfair competition and damage to reputation. The plaintiff asserts that it suffered damage to its image and reputation after reports were allegedly made on certain soft air gun web sites that we had previously filed a separate trademark infringement, unfair competition, and breach of contract action against the plaintiff in the United States District Court for the District of Arizona. The plaintiff alleges that we intentionally orchestrated the media coverage reported in the complaint after we filed the separate lawsuit in the United States. The plaintiff is seeking €450,000 in compensatory damages, as well as €15,000 in court costs. An initial court conference has been scheduled for April 21, 2011.

Smith & Wesson Holding Corporation and Smith & Wesson Corp. v. Cybergun, S.A., et al., in the United States District Court for the District of Arizona. We filed this action against the defendants, on or about June 17, 2010, asserting federal trademark infringement, federal unfair competition, federal trademark dilution, contributory and vicarious trademark infringement, common law infringement of trademark, common law unfair competition and breach of contract, following our termination of a trademark license agreement concerning soft air guns with defendant Cybergun. Cybergun has counter-claimed seeking declaratory judgment, as well as counts for breach of contract, breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, tortious interference with contractual relations, and common law unfair competition. We have answered Cybergun's counter-claims denying all liability. A scheduling conference was held before the court on November 8, 2010. Discovery is ongoing. Trial is scheduled to begin on May 8, 2012.

Universal Safety Response, Inc. v. Barrier1 Systems, Inc., in the United States District Court for the Northern District of New York. This suit was filed by USR, on September 1, 2010, against the defendant, Barrier1 Systems, Inc. USR's complaint alleges that the defendant's barrier system infringes patents owned by USR. On October 14, 2010, the defendant filed counterclaims against USR alleging that USR's patents are invalid, bad faith, and unfair competition. Both parties seek injunctions, unspecified damages, and attorneys' fees. On December 1, 2010, the defendant filed a Motion to Transfer Venue to the Middle District of North Carolina. On December 22, 2010, USR opposed the defendant's motion. No decision has been issued to date. Discovery is ongoing. Trial is scheduled to begin on January 14, 2012.

## Cases Dismissed or Resolved

Art Bundy v. Smith & Wesson Holding Corp., et al.; and Dwight Nance v. Smith & Wesson Holding Corp., et al., in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts. On October 20, 2010, the court granted our motion to dismiss and dismissed the cases without prejudice.

Dan Mosqueda v. Smith & Wesson Corp., et al., in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi. On November 4, 2010, the court granted our motion for summary judgment and dismissed the case with prejudice. The plaintiff's deadline to appeal has expired, and no appeal was filed.

*Michael Robinson v. Smith & Wesson Corp.*, in the Superior Court of the Judicial District of New London, Connecticut. On November 3, 2010, this case was settled within the limits of our self-insured retention.

*Mark D. Lee v. Smith & Wesson Corp., et al.*, in the Court of Common Pleas of Richland County, Ohio. This civil action, filed on November 11, 2008, alleged that the plaintiff sustained an injury to his right eye on November 11, 2006 while operating a Smith & Wesson Model 460 XVR revolver. The plaintiff sought unspecified damages against us and the seller of the firearm. The complaint alleged that this incident occurred when the cylinder of the revolver swung open upon firing, allowing gases and particles to escape from the firearm during firing. The complaint asserted claims for negligence, strict liability, and breach of warranty. On January 2, 2009, we filed a motion to strike and a partial motion to dismiss certain portions of the plaintiff's complaint. On January 9, 2009, our motion was denied by the court. On February 4, 2009, we filed our answer to the plaintiff's complaint. On August 18, 2010, the plaintiff filed a Notice of Voluntary Dismissal Without Prejudice, as well as a Notice of Substitution of Counsel. The plaintiff has one year to re-file his action.

#### **Cases on Appeal**

The ruling in the following case is subject to certain pending appeals:

*J.D. Nelson, et al. v. Smith & Wesson Corp., et al.*, in the United States District Court for the District of Alaska. This suit was filed in the state court of Alaska on June 3, 2009, and removed to the United States District Court on January 25, 2010 after service of process. The plaintiffs claimed that the minor-plaintiff, Kariel Young, was rendered a paraplegic as a result of the discharge of a round of ammunition from a .22 caliber Smith & Wesson revolver. The complaint alleged negligence, strict liability, breach of warranty, ultra hazardous activities, and claims under unspecified consumer protection laws. The plaintiffs sought damages for emotional distress, compensatory damages, and punitive damages. We filed a Motion to Dismiss the Complaint. The plaintiffs sought remand of the case to state court, which was denied on May 5, 2010. On May 18, 2010, the court granted our motion to dismiss, and dismissed the plaintiffs' case in its entirety. On June 1, 2010, the plaintiffs filed a motion for reconsideration. On June 14, 2010, the plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration was denied by the court. The plaintiffs filed an appeal to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals on June 18, 2010. The plaintiffs' brief was filed on October 12, 2010. Our reply brief was filed on November 26, 2010.

#### **Pending Cases**

In re Smith & Wesson Holding Corp. Securities Litigation. This case is a consolidation of the following three cases: William Hwang v. Smith & Wesson Holding Corp., et al.; Joe Cranford v. Smith & Wesson Holding Corp., et al.; and Joanne Trudelle v. Smith & Wesson Holding Corp., et al. It is pending in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts (Springfield), and is a purported securities class action lawsuit brought individually and on behalf of all persons who purchased the securities of our company between June 15, 2007 and December 6, 2007. The putative plaintiffs seek unspecified damages against us, certain of our officers, and our directors for alleged violations of Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act. The Oklahoma Firefighters Pension and Retirement System was appointed Lead Plaintiff of the putative class. On May 30, 2008, Lead Plaintiff Oklahoma Firefighters Pension and Retirement System filed a Consolidated Class Action Complaint seeking unspecified damages against us and several officers and directors for alleged violations of Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act. On August 28, 2008, we and the named officers and directors moved to dismiss the Consolidated Amended Complaint because it failed to state a claim under the federal securities laws and the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. The putative class Lead Plaintiff submitted its Opposition to our motion on October 28, 2008. On March 26, 2009, our motion was granted as to Mr. Monheit and denied as to the remaining defendants. On May 11, 2010, the court certified the consolidated action as consisting of a class of persons who purchased securities of our company between June 15, 2007 and December 6, 2007 and suffered damage as a result. Court scheduled discovery concerning the facts of this action ended on May 28, 2010. Examination of any experts put forth by the parties ended on October 1, 2010. On October 29, 2010, we moved for summary disposition of the case. Lead Plaintiff opposed our motion on November 22, 2010 and cross-moved for partial summary judgment. A hearing of this matter was held for December 20, 2010. No decision has been issued to date. The February 7, 2011 trial date was cancelled pending a decision on the motion for summary judgment.

Norman Hart v. Smith & Wesson Holding Corp., et al.; and Frank Holt v. Smith & Wesson Holding Corp., et al., in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. These two actions were filed on or about September 1, 2010 (Holt) and September 17, 2010 (Hart). They are purported derivative actions brought by two separate plaintiffs on behalf of our company against certain of our officers and directors. The complaints allege, inter alia, that the officer and director defendants breached their fiduciary duties by failing to: (1) institute and maintain internal controls permitting us to engage in systematic violations of the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act of 1977 ("FCPA"); (2) maintain internal accounting controls despite our obligation to do so under the FCPA; and (3) take any steps to prevent the purportedly unlawful conduct engaged in by certain company executives. The putative plaintiffs seek unspecified damages on behalf of our company from the individual defendants and recovery of their attorneys' fees. On November 15, 2010, the parties stipulated to a scheduling order, signed by the court that same day, that, among other things: (1) consolidated the two cases; and (2) set forth a schedule for the putative plaintiffs to file a consolidated amended complaint, and then a motion to dismiss briefing schedule. On or about November 23, 2010, the defendants removed the action from the District Court of Nevada, Clark County to the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. On December 8, 2010, the putative plaintiffs filed an ex parte motion for extension of time to file their consolidated amended complaint, indicating that they intended to file a motion to remand the case back to state court. The district court granted the motion on December 14, 2010, and ordered that the consolidated amended complaint was due within 14 days after the district court rules on the then-anticipated motion to remand. The putative plaintiffs filed their anticipated motion to remand on December 21, 2010. On December 22, 2010, defendants filed a response to the motion to remand, in which they consented to the remand. The motion to remand has been fully-briefed, but the district court has not yet issued its ruling.

*Aaron Sarnacki v. Smith & Wesson Holding Corp., et al.*, in the United States District Court for the District of Arizona. This action was filed on or about October 28, 2010. It is a purported derivative action brought by the plaintiff on behalf of our company against certain of our officers and directors. The complaint alleges that the officer and director defendants breached their fiduciary duties by providing misleading statements concerning our earnings and business prospects for fiscal 2008. The complaint also asserts that between June 14, 2007 and December 6, 2007, the officer and director defendants provided false statements about our financial results. The putative plaintiffs seek unspecified damages on behalf of our company from the individual defendants and recovery of their attorneys' fees.

Adam Coffey v. Smith & Wesson Corp., in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. This suit was filed in the State Court of Ohio on May 7, 2010 and removed to the United States District Court on June 9, 2010 after service of process. The plaintiff claims that his Walther PPK/S-1 accidentally discharged while he was unloading it. The plaintiff alleges that a bullet entered his left palm exiting the back and then re-entering his left thigh. His complaint asserts counts for product liability, negligence, and failure to warn. The plaintiff seeks compensatory and punitive damages. We filed a partial Motion to Dismiss the common law counts of negligence, negligent design, and failure to warn based on the Ohio Revised Code. Our motion is pending. A final pre-trial conference is scheduled for October 5, 2011. Trial is scheduled to begin on October 24, 2011. Discovery is ongoing.

*Todd Brown and Kathy Brown v. Smith & Wesson Corp.*, in the United States District Court for the Western District of Arkansas. The complaint, filed on July 18, 2008, asserts claims for negligence, strict liability, and breach of warranty. The plaintiffs seek unspecified money damages. The plaintiff Todd Brown claims to have been using a Smith & Wesson Model 460 revolver on December 26, 2007 when he sustained injuries to his left hand during the firing of the revolver. The plaintiffs allege that we failed to provide adequate warnings regarding the risk of personal injury associated with the gases escaping from the barrel cylinder gap of the revolver during firing. We filed our Answer to the Complaint on August 14, 2008, denying the plaintiffs' allegations of liability. Discovery is ongoing. On June 24, 2010, we filed a motion for summary judgment. Trial was rescheduled for June 27, 2011.

*Brian Ward v. Thompson/Center Arms Company, Inc., et. al.*, in the Forty-Sixth Circuit Court for Otsego County, Michigan. The complaint was filed on October 16, 2006 and alleges that the plaintiff sustained eye injuries using a Thompson/Center Arms rifle. The plaintiff asserts product liability claims against both our company and the retailer based on negligence and warranty principles. The plaintiff is seeking an unspecified amount of compensatory damages. On November 15, 2006, we filed an answer denying all allegations of liability. On February 2, 2009, the plaintiff filed a second amended complaint. On February 17, 2009, we filed our answer to the plaintiff's complaint. On October 9, 2009, we filed a motion for summary judgment. On October 21, 2009, the plaintiff opposed our motion. A hearing on our motion for summary judgment was held on November 3, 2009. Expert discovery is ongoing. A case evaluation as required by the Michigan court was held on November 13, 2009, in which the panel recommended a settlement in favor of the plaintiff in the amount of \$325. We rejected this proposed settlement award. On December 12, 2009, the court granted our motion for summary judgment on the manufacturing defect, failure to recall, and failure to test claims, and denied our motion on the design defect claims under the theories of risk-utility and failure to warn. A settlement conference was scheduled for August 5, 2010 but was postponed because the plaintiff's counsel is retiring. A settlement conference was held on November 2, 2010 with no agreement reached. Trial is scheduled to begin on June 20, 2011.

Steve and Michelle Santoyo v. Bear Lake Holdings, Inc., d/b/a Thompson/Center Arms, et al., in the Circuit Court for Boone County, Missouri. The complaint, which was filed on or about February 11, 2010, asserts claims of strict liability, negligence, failure to warn, negligently supplying a dangerous instrumentality, loss of consortium, and punitive damages. The plaintiffs seek unspecified money damages. The plaintiff Steve Santoyo claims to have been using a Thompson/Center Arms Black Diamond muzzleloader on November 28, 2008 when the firearm allegedly malfunctioned causing him injury. On March 15, 2010, we removed the case to the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri. On March 22, 2010, we filed our response to the plaintiffs' complaint. On March 27, 2010, the plaintiffs filed a motion to remand the case back to the circuit court. On April 14, 2010, we opposed the plaintiffs' motion to remand. On June 15, 2010, the district court denied the plaintiffs' motion for remand. A motion to dismiss the claims of the plaintiff Michelle Santoyo was filed on July 30, 2010. On August 9, 2010, the plaintiffs filed a Motion to Amend Complaint to add the retailer that sold the firearm as a defendant. On August 18, 2010, the plaintiff Michelle Santoyo's claims were dismissed without prejudice. Discovery is ongoing related to the plaintiff Steve Santoyo's claims. On November 22, 2010, the district court granted the plaintiff's motion to add the retailer that sold the firearm as a defendant. The addition of this defendant destroyed the diversity jurisdiction of the district court and the case was remanded to the circuit court. Trial is scheduled to begin on August 22, 2011.

# U.S. Department of Justice ("DOJ") Investigation

On January 19, 2010, the DOJ unsealed indictments of 22 individuals from the law enforcement and military equipment industries, one of whom was our Vice President-Sales, International & U.S. Law Enforcement. We were not charged in the indictment. We also were served with a Grand Jury subpoena for the production of documents. We have always taken, and continue to take seriously, our obligation as an industry leader to foster a responsible and ethical culture, which includes adherence to laws and industry regulations in the United States and abroad. Although we are cooperating fully with the DOJ in this matter and have undertaken a comprehensive review of company policies and procedures, the DOJ may determine that we have violated FCPA laws. We cannot predict when this investigation will be completed or its outcome. There could be additional indictments of our company, our officers, or our employees. If the DOJ determines that we violated FCPA laws, or if our employee is convicted of FCPA violations, we may face sanctions, including significant civil and criminal penalties. In addition, we could be prevented from bidding on domestic military and government contracts and could risk debarment by the U.S. Department of State. We also face increased legal expenses and could see an increase in the cost of doing international business. We could also see private civil litigation arising as a result of the outcome of the investigation. In addition, responding to the investigation may divert the time and attention of our management from normal business operations. Regardless of the outcome of the investigation, the publicity surrounding the investigation and the potential risks associated with the investigation could negatively impact the perception of our company by investors, customers, and others.

#### Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") Investigation

Subsequent to the end of fiscal 2010, we received a subpoena from the staff of the SEC giving notice that the SEC is conducting a non-public, fact-finding inquiry to determine whether there have been any violations of the federal securities laws. It appears this civil inquiry was triggered in part by the DOJ investigation into potential FCPA violations. We have always taken, and continue to take seriously, our obligation as an industry leader to foster a responsible and ethical culture, which includes adherence to laws and industry regulations in the United States and abroad. Although we are cooperating fully with the SEC in this matter, the SEC may determine that we have violated federal securities laws. We cannot predict when this inquiry will be completed or its outcome. If the SEC determines that we have violated federal securities laws, we may face injunctive relief, disgorgement of ill-gotten gains, and sanctions, including fines and penalties, or may be forced to take corrective actions that could increase our costs or otherwise adversely affect our business, results of operations, and liquidity. We also face increased legal expenses and could see an increase in the cost of doing business. We could also see private civil litigation arising as a result of the outcome of this inquiry. In addition, responding to the inquiry may divert the time and attention of our management from normal business operations. Regardless of the outcome of the inquiry, the publicity surrounding the inquiry and the potential risks associated with the inquiry could negatively impact the perception of our company by investors, customers, and others.

#### **Environmental Remediation**

We are subject to numerous federal, state, and local laws that regulate the discharge of materials into, or otherwise relate to the protection of, the environment. These laws have required, and are expected to continue to require, us to make significant expenditures of both a capital and expense nature. Several of the more significant federal laws applicable to our operations include the Clean Air Act, the Clean Water Act, the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), and the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA").

We have in place programs and personnel to monitor compliance with various federal, state, and local environmental regulations. In the normal course of our manufacturing operations, we are subject to governmental proceedings and orders pertaining to waste disposal, air emissions, and water discharges into the environment. We fund our environmental costs through cash flows from operations. We believe that we are in compliance with applicable environmental regulations in all material respects.

We are required to remediate hazardous waste at our facilities. Currently, we own designated sites in Springfield, Massachusetts and are subject to two release areas, which are the focus of remediation projects as part of the Massachusetts Contingency Plan ("MCP"). The MCP provides a structured environment for the voluntary remediation of regulated releases. We may be required to remove hazardous waste or remediate the alleged effects of hazardous substances on the environment associated with past disposal practices at sites not owned by us. We have received notice that we are a potentially responsible party from the Environmental Protection Agency and/or individual states under CERCLA or a state equivalent at one site.



We had reserves of \$608 as of January 31, 2011 (\$577 as non-current) for remediation of the sites referred to above and believe that the time frame for remediation is currently indeterminable. Therefore, the time frame for payment of such remediation is likewise currently indeterminable, thus making any net present value calculation impracticable. Our estimate of these costs is based upon currently enacted laws and regulations, currently available facts, experience in remediation efforts, existing technology, and the ability of other potentially responsible parties or contractually liable parties to pay the allocated portions of any environmental obligations.

When the available information is sufficient to estimate the amount of liability, that estimate has been used; when the information is only sufficient to establish a range of probable liability and no point within the range is more likely than any other, the lower end of the range has been used. We do not have insurance coverage for our environmental remediation costs. We have not recognized any gains from probable recoveries or other gain contingencies. The environmental reserve was calculated using undiscounted amounts based on independent environmental remediation reports obtained.

Pursuant to the merger agreement related to our acquisition of Thompson/Center Arms, the former stockholders of Thompson Center Holding Corporation agreed to indemnify us for losses arising from, among other things, environmental conditions related to Thompson/Center Arms' manufacturing activities. Of the purchase price, \$8,000 was placed in an escrow account, a portion of which was to be applied to environmental remediation at the manufacturing site in Rochester, New Hampshire. In November 2008, \$2,500 of the escrow account was released to the former stockholders of Thompson Center Holding Corporation. We and the former stockholders of Thompson Center Holding Corporation. We and the former stockholders of Thompson Center Holding Corporation recently entered into a settlement agreement under which approximately \$1,182 was released to us from the escrow account for remediation costs and the remainder was released to such former stockholders. Site remediation costs will be paid with monies released from the escrow account. We have estimated the total site remediation costs at \$1,470 and have established an accrual equal to that amount with \$77 reported in accrued liabilities and the remainder in non-current liabilities. We believe the likelihood of environmental remediation costs exceeding the amount accrued to be remote.

Based on information known to us, we do not expect current environmental regulations or environmental proceedings and claims to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows. However, it is not possible to predict with certainty the impact on us of future environmental compliance requirements or of the cost of resolution of future environmental proceedings and claims, in part because the scope of the remedies that may be required is not certain, liability under federal environmental laws is joint and several in nature, and environmental laws and regulations are subject to modification and changes in interpretation. There can be no assurance that additional or changing environmental regulation will not become more burdensome in the future and that any such development would not have a material adverse effect on our company.

## **Deferred** Compensation

*Post-Retirement Pension Plan* — We have a senior executive supplemental retirement plan for certain Thompson/Center Arms officers, which covered three former executives at January 31, 2011. Benefits under this plan are paid monthly (currently monthly benefit is \$3 and is adjusted annually based on the percent change in the CPI for all Urban Consumers) for ten years following the retirement of an officer or director. This is an unfunded, non-qualified, and non-contributory plan under which we pay all future obligations. As of January 31, 2011, \$464 has been accrued in the financial statements, based upon the present value of the estimated future obligation using a discount rate of 2.43% and the remaining months of commitment. Estimated future benefit payments by fiscal year are as follows: 2011 — \$28; 2012 — \$110; 2013 — \$110; 2014 — \$92; 2015 — \$73; and thereafter — \$86.

#### Suppliers

The inability to obtain sufficient quantities of raw materials, components, and other supplies from independent sources necessary for the production of our products could result in reduced or delayed sales or lost orders. Any delay in or loss of sales could adversely impact our operating results. Many of the materials used in the production of our products are available only from a limited number of suppliers. In most cases, we do not have long-term supply contracts with these suppliers.

#### Contracts

*Employment Agreements* — We have employment, severance, and change of control agreements with certain officers and managers.

Other Agreements — We have distribution agreements with various third parties in the ordinary course of business.

*Outstanding Letters of Credit/Restricted Cash* — We had open letters of credit aggregating \$4,296 as of January 31, 2011, with a workers' compensation bond for self insurance of \$3,500 making up the majority of this amount. We had restricted cash totaling \$5,812 as of January 31, 2011 of which \$5,000 acts as a compensating balance against our line of credit dated December 7, 2010 and \$812 is related to the environmental remediation required to be performed in accordance with our credit facility with TD Bank.

# (16) Derivative Financial Instruments and Hedging Activities:

In accordance with ASC 820-10, the *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic*, financial assets and liabilities recorded on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets are categorized based on the inputs to the valuation techniques as follows:

*Level 1* — Financial assets and liabilities whose values are based on unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in an active market that we have the ability to access at the measurement date (examples include active exchange-traded equity securities, listed derivatives, and most U.S. Government and agency securities).

*Level 2* — Financial assets and liabilities whose values are based on quoted prices in markets in which trading occurs infrequently or whose values are based on quoted prices of instruments with similar attributes in active markets. Level 2 inputs include the following:

- quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in non-active markets (such as corporate and municipal bonds which trade infrequently);
- inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for substantially the full term of the asset or liability (examples include interest rate and currency swaps); and
- inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the asset or liability (such as certain securities and derivatives).

*Level* 3 — Financial assets and liabilities whose values are based on prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement. These inputs reflect our assumptions about the assumptions a market participant would use in pricing the asset or liability. We currently do not have any Level 3 financial assets or liabilities.

The following table presents information about our assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of January 31, 2011 and indicates the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques we utilized to determine such fair value:

Description	Jar	nuary 31, 2011	(I	Level 1)
Assets:				
Cash and short-term deposits	\$	32,581	\$	32,581
Foreign Exchange Contracts		433		433
Total assets	\$	33,014	\$	33,014

We purchase certain finished goods and component parts from a European supplier and pay for them in Euros. We routinely purchase foreign exchange forward contracts to minimize the impact of fluctuations in foreign exchange rates. Forward contracts provide full protection for us against the devaluation of the U.S. dollar to the euro. If the euro strengthens above the average rate, we will not pay more than the average rate. We have not elected to designate our derivative instruments as qualifying for hedge accounting treatment under ASC 815-20-25 and, accordingly, we record any gains and losses from these derivative contracts as an element of other income (expense) at each reporting period, based on the change in the estimated fair value of these contracts. We determine the fair values of the derivative financial instruments based on the exchange rates of the euro quoted in active markets.

Other than those acquired in business combinations, long-lived tangible assets are recorded at cost and depreciated over their useful lives. Indefinite-lived intangible assets and goodwill acquired in business combinations are tested for impairment on an annual basis on February 1<sup>st</sup> and between annual tests if indicators of potential impairment exist. As noted in Note 4, during the three months ended January 31, 2011, we recorded an impairment loss related to goodwill of \$45,270 and intangible assets with definite and indefinite lives of \$5,738. During the nine months ended January 31, 2011, we recorded an impairment loss related to our July 20, 2009 acquisition of USR.

The following table presents information about derivatives outstanding as of January 31, 2011 and 2010:

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments	Balance Sheet Location	2	2011	201	0
	Asset Derivatives				
Foreign Exchange Contracts	Other current assets	\$	433	\$	_
	Liabilities				
Foreign Exchange Contracts	Accrued expenses		_		274
	Other non-current				
Contingent Consideration (Note 2)	liabilities				16,157

The following table presents information about the effect of derivative instruments on our financial performance for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010:

	Location of Gain or (Loss)	Amount of Gain Recognized in Income o					
	Recognized in Income on						
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments	Derivative		2011		2010		
Foreign Exchange Contracts (realized)	Cost of goods sold	\$ 1,612		\$	_		
Foreign Exchange Contracts (unrealized)	Other income/(expenses)		619		(189)		
Contingent Consideration (Note 2)	Other income		3,060		11,668		

#### (17) Recent Accounting Pronouncements:

#### **Recently Issued Accounting Standards**

In December 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued ASU 2010-29, *Business Combinations (Topic 805): Disclosure of Supplementary Pro Forma Information for Business Combinations*. This ASU reflects the decision reached in EITF Issue No. 10-G. The amendments in this ASU affect any public entity, as defined by Topic 805 *Business Combinations*, that enters into business combinations that are material on an individual or aggregate basis. The amendments in this ASU specify that if a public entity presents comparative financial statements, the entity should disclose revenue and earnings of the combined entity as though the business combination(s) that occurred during the current year had occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period only. The amendments also expand the supplemental pro forma disclosures to include a description of the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to the business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2010. The Company does not expect this ASU will have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

In December 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-28, *Intangibles — Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): When to Perform Step 2 of the Goodwill Impairment Test for Reporting Units with Zero or Negative Carrying Amounts*. This ASU reflects the decision reached in EITF Issue No. 10-A. The amendments in this ASU modify Step 1 of the goodwill impairment test for reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts. For those reporting units, an entity is required to perform Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test if it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists. In determining whether it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exist, an entity should consider whether there are any adverse qualitative factors indicating that an impairment may exist. The qualitative factors are consistent with the existing guidance and examples, which require that goodwill of a reporting unit be tested for impairment between annual tests if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount. The amendments in this ASU are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2010. The Company does not expect that this ASU will have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

#### **Recently Adopted Accounting Standards**

In April 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2010-13, *Compensation — Stock Compensation* (*Topic 718*): Effect of Denominating the Exercise Price of a Share-Based Payment Award in the Currency of the Market in Which the Underlying Equity Security Trades, or ASU 2010-13. ASU 2010-13 clarifies that a share-based payment award with an exercise price denominated in the currency of a market in which a substantial portion of the entity's equity securities trades should not be considered to contain a condition that is not a market, performance, or service condition. Therefore, such an award should not be classified as a liability if it otherwise qualifies as equity. ASU 2010-13 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2010, with early adoption permitted. The adoption of this standard did not have any impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In April 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-17, *Revenue Recognition* — *Milestone Method (Topic 605): Milestone Method of Revenue Recognition*, or ASU 2010-17. ASU 2010-17 allows the milestone method as an acceptable revenue recognition methodology when an arrangement includes substantive milestones. ASU 2010-17 provides a definition of substantive milestone, and should be applied regardless of whether the arrangement includes single or multiple deliverables or units of accounting. ASU 2010-17 is limited to transactions involving milestones relating to research and development deliverables. ASU 2010-17 also includes enhanced disclosure requirements about each arrangement, individual milestones and related contingent consideration, information about substantive milestones, and factors considered in the determination. ASU 2010-17 is effective on a prospective basis for milestones achieved in fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning on or after June 15, 2010, with early adoption permitted. The adoption of this standard did not have any impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In March 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-11, *Derivatives and Hedging (ASC Topic 815): Scope Exception Related to Credit Derivatives*, or ASU 2010-11. ASU 2010-11 clarifies that embedded credit-derivative features related only to the transfer of credit risk in the form of subordination of one financial instrument to another are not subject to potential bifurcation and separate accounting. ASU 2010-11 also provides guidance on whether embedded credit-derivative features in financial instruments issued by structures such as collateralized debt obligations are subject to bifurcations and separate accounting. ASU 2010-11 is effective at the beginning of a company's first fiscal quarter beginning after June 15, 2010, with early adoption permitted. The adoption of this guidance did not have any impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-06, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (ASC Topic 820)* — *Improving Disclosures About Fair Value Measurements*. The amendments in this update require new disclosures about transfers into and out of Levels 1 (fair value determined based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities) and 2 (fair value determined based on significant other observable inputs), and separate disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements relating to Level 3 measurements. It also clarifies existing fair value disclosures about the level of disaggregation and about inputs and valuation techniques used to measure fair value. Except for the detailed Level 3 roll-forward disclosures, the new standard is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 31, 2009. The requirement to provide detailed disclosures about the purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the roll-forward activity for Level 3 fair value measurements is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 31, 2010. The adoption of this guidance did not have any impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2009, the FASB issued ASU No. 2009-16, *Transfers and Servicing (Topic 860): Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets*, or ASU 2009-16. The amendments in this update improve financial reporting by eliminating the exceptions for qualifying special-purpose entities from the consolidation guidance and the exception that permitted sale accounting for certain mortgage securitizations when a transferor has not surrendered control over the transferred financial assets. In addition, the amendments require enhanced disclosures about the risks that a transferor continues to be exposed to because of its continuing involvement in transferred financial assets. Comparability and consistency in accounting for transferred financial assets will also be improved through clarifications of the requirements for isolation and limitations on portions of financial assets that are eligible for sale accounting. This standard is effective January 1, 2010 and applies with the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 166, which it amends. The adoption of this standard did not have any impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In October 2009, the FASB issued ASU No. 2009-15, *Accounting for Own-Share Lending Arrangements in Contemplation of Convertible Debt Issuance or Other Financing*, or ASU 2009-15. ASU 2009-15 provides guidance on equity-classified share-lending arrangements on an entity's own shares when executed in contemplation of a convertible debt offering or other financing. This standard is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2009, and interim periods within those years, for arrangements outstanding as of the beginning of those fiscal years. The adoption of this standard did not have any impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In October 2009, the FASB issued ASU No. 2009-14, *Software (Topic 985): Certain Revenue Arrangements That Include Software Elements* — *a consensus of the FASB EITF*, or ASU 2009-14. ASU 2009-14 changes the accounting model for revenue arrangements that include tangible products and software elements. The amendments of this update provide additional guidance on how to determine which software, if any, relating to the tangible product also would be excluded from the scope of the software revenue recognition guidance. The amendments in this update also provide guidance on how a vendor should allocate arrangement consideration to deliverables in an arrangement that includes tangible products and software as well as arrangements that have deliverables both included and excluded from the scope of software revenue recognition guidance. This standard is effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. The adoption of this standard did not have any impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In October 2009, the FASB issued ASU No. 2009-13, *Revenue Recognition (Topic 650): Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements* — *a consensus of the FASB EITF*, or ASU 2009-13. ASU 2009-13 will separate multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements. This update establishes a selling price hierarchy for determining the selling price of a deliverable. The amendments of this update will replace the term "fair value" in the revenue allocation guidance with "selling price" to clarify that the allocation of revenue is based on entity-specific assumptions rather than assumptions of a marketplace participant. The amendments of this update will eliminate the residual method of allocation and require that arrangement consideration be allocated at the inception of the arrangement to all deliverables using the relative selling price method. The amendments in this update will require that a vendor determine its best estimated selling price in a manner consistent with that used to determine the price to sell the deliverable on a standalone basis. This standard is effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. The adoption of this standard did not have any impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 166, *Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets, an amendment to SFAS No. 140*, which has been codified as ASC 860-10-65. This statement eliminates the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity, changes the requirements for derecognizing financial assets, and requires additional disclosures in order to enhance information reported to users of financial statements by providing greater transparency about transfers of financial assets, including securitization transactions, and an entity's continuing involvement in and exposure to the risks related to transferred financial assets. This statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009. The adoption of this standard did not have any impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 167, *Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)*, which has been codified as ASC 810-10. This guidance is a revision to pre-existing guidance pertaining to the consolidation and disclosures of variable interest entities. Specifically, it changes how a reporting entity determines when an entity that is insufficiently capitalized or is not controlled through voting (or similar rights) should be consolidated. The determination of whether a reporting entity is required to consolidate another entity is based on, among other things, the other entity's purpose and design and the reporting entity ability to direct the activities of the other entity that most significantly impact the other entity's economic performance. This guidance will require a reporting entity to provide additional disclosures about its involvement with variable interest entities and any significant changes in risk exposure due to that involvement. A reporting entity will be required to disclose how its involvement with a variable interest entity affects the reporting entity's financial statements. This guidance will be effective at the start of a reporting entity's first fiscal year beginning after November 15, 2009. Early application is not permitted. The adoption of this standard did not have any impact on our consolidated financial statements.

#### (18) Segment Reporting:

We have two reportable segments: firearms and perimeter security. The firearm segment consists of products and services manufactured and sold from our Springfield, Massachusetts; Houlton, Maine; and Rochester, New Hampshire facilities, which includes primarily firearms, handcuffs, and related accessories sold through a distribution chain and direct sales to consumers and international, state, and federal governments. The perimeter security segment consists of products and services manufactured and sold from our Franklin, Tennessee facility, which includes the sales and installation of perimeter security products to military, governmental, and corporate customers. Operating costs are reported based on the activities performed within each segment.

Segment assets are those directly used in or clearly allocable to an operating segment's operations. For both segments, assets include accounts receivable, inventory, prepaid expenses, deferred tax assets, machinery and equipment, furniture and fixtures, and computer equipment. In addition, included in the assets of the firearm segment are intangible assets totaling \$5,149 and land, buildings, and leasehold improvements totaling \$54,697. Included in the assets of the perimeter security segment are intangible assets totaling \$3,710.

Results by business segment are presented in the following table for the three months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively:

	For the three months ended January 31, 2011			e three months e anuary 31, 2010			
	Firearms	Perimeter Firearms Security Total		Firearms	Perimeter Security	Total	
Net product and services sales to							
external customers	\$ 79,238	\$ 10,099	\$ 89,337	\$ 74,734	\$ 16,237	\$ 90,971	
Operating income/(loss)	(1,939)	(53,665)	(55,604)	2,881	1,355	4,236	
As a percentage of revenue	-2.4%	-531.4%	-62.2%	3.9%	8.3%	4.7%	
Depreciation and amortization	3,339	420	3,759	2,798	763	3,561	
Stock based compensation	115	(56)	59	852		852	
Income tax expense / (benefit)	(856)	(3,783)	(4,639)	484	469	953	
Assets	223,275	26,070	249,345	204,387	119,767	324,154	
Expenditures for property, plant and equipment	2,299	_	2,299	3,561	659	4,220	

Results by business segment are presented in the following table for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively:

	For the nine months ended January 31, 2011			e nine months er anuary 31, 2010			
		Perimeter			Perimeter	r	
	Firearms	Security	Total	Firearms	Security	Total	
Net product and services sales to							
external customers	\$ 240,566	\$ 39,976	\$ 280,542	\$ 267,691	\$ 34,687	\$ 302,378	
Operating income/(loss)	9,799	(95,576)	(85,777)	30,560	2,889	33,449	
As a percentage of revenue	4.1%	-239.1%	-30.6%	11.4%	8.3%	11.1%	
Depreciation and amortization	9,416	1,365	10,781	8,325	1,758	10,083	
Stock based compensation	722	254	976	2,380		2,380	
Income tax expense / (benefit)	3,989	(5,542)	(1,553)	10,639	1,006	11,645	
Assets	223,275	26,070	249,345	204,387	119,767	324,154	
Expenditures for property, plant							
and equipment	6,609	213	6,822	9,707	1,326	11,033	

For the three months ended January 31, 2011, operating income/(loss) related to our perimeter security division included an impairment of goodwill and long-lived intangible assets totaling \$51,008. For the nine months ended January 31, 2011, operating income/(loss) related to our perimeter security division included impairment losses on goodwill and long-lived intangible assets totaling \$90,503.

#### Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

#### Overview

Please refer to the 2010 Highlights found in the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2010. This section sets forth key management objectives and key performance indicators used by management as well as key industry data tracked by management.

#### **Third Quarter Fiscal 2011 Highlights**

Net product and services sales for the three months ended January 31, 2011 were \$89,337,000, a \$1,634,000, or 1.8%, decrease from net product and services sales of \$90,971,000 for the three months ended January 31, 2010. Firearm product and services sales were \$79,238,000 for the three months ended January 31, 2011, an increase of \$4,504,000, or 6.0%, from the three months ended January 31, 2010, while perimeter security sales were \$10,099,000 for the three months ended January 31, 2011 compared with \$16,237,000 for the three months ended January 31, 2010. Within firearms, there was a significant increase in consumer demand for our Sigma series of pistols due to our price repositioning strategy and increased promotional activity. In addition, our BODYGUARD<sup>®</sup> 380 pistol, a new product that began shipping in the first quarter of fiscal 2011, continues to have significant market demand. Tactical rifle sales were below the comparable quarter last year as a result of the consumer market returning to more normal levels following the significant increase in demand that started in our second quarter of the fiscal year ended April 30, 2009. Walther product sales were down significantly on increased competition in the .380 and .22 caliber product lines. Hunting product sales increased 14.5% over the prior year quarter on improved black powder, rimfire, and bolt action product sales. Within perimeter security sales, federal funding deficits and price-focused competition resulted in reduced sales.

Gross profit as a percentage of net sales was 24.1% for the three months ended January 31, 2011 compared with 30.0% for the three months ended January 31, 2010. The decrease in gross profit margin was attributable to increased promotions in our firearm division in light of the reduced consumer demand in certain products, the effects of price repositioning and corresponding distributor inventory protection, costs associated with our plan to move production of our hunting product line to our Springfield, Massachusetts facility, as well as lowered margins in our perimeter security division as a result of price-focused competition and low volumes.

During the three months ended January 31, 2011, we determined that the goodwill and certain long-lived intangible assets related to our acquisition of our perimeter security business were impaired due to market conditions and other factors. Based on this determination, under ASC 280-10, we recorded a non-cash impairment charge of \$51,008,000 and reduced the value of those assets to fair value.

Net loss for the three months ended January 31, 2011 was \$52,836,000, or \$0.88 per fully diluted share, compared with net income of \$3,116,000, or \$0.05 per fully diluted share, for the three months ended January 31, 2010. The impairment charge recorded in the period had a \$0.80 negative impact on basic and fully diluted earnings per share for the three months ended January 31, 2011.

Net product and services sales for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 were \$280,542,000, a \$21,836,000, or 7.2%, decrease from net product and services sales of \$302,378,000 for the nine months ended January 31, 2010. Firearm product and services sales were \$240,566,000 for the nine months ended January 31, 2011, a decrease of \$27,125,000, or 10.1%, from the nine-month period ended January 31, 2010. Perimeter security sales were \$39,976,000 for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 compared with \$34,687,000 for the nine months ended January 31, 2010. The nine months ended January 31, 2010 included only the approximately six-and-a-half months subsequent to our July 20, 2009 acquisition of USR. Within firearms, all handgun and tactical rifle product lines, except for premium products, were flat or reduced from the prior year nine-month period. Hunting product sales increased 15.7% over the prior year nine-month period on improved black powder, rimfire, and bolt action product sales. Including pre-acquisition sales for the comparable period, perimeter security sales were slightly below the prior year due to factors associated with the ongoing economic downturn and reduced federal and corporate funding.

Gross profit as a percentage of net sales was 29.3% for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 compared with 32.7% for the nine months ended January 31, 2010. The decrease in gross profit was attributable to increased promotions in our firearm division in light of the reduced consumer demand, costs associated with our plan to move production of our hunting product line to our Springfield, Massachusetts facility, and competitive pressures in our perimeter security division due to reduced demand as a result of federal and corporate budget constraints.

Net loss for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 was \$83,909,000, or \$1.40 per fully diluted share, compared with net income of \$29,844,000, or \$0.48 per fully diluted share, for the nine months ended January 31, 2010. The impairment charges recorded in the period had a \$1.45 negative impact on basic and fully diluted earnings per share for the nine months ended January 31, 2011.

#### **Results of Operations**

# Net Product and Services Sales

The following table sets forth certain information relating to net product and services sales for the three months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 (dollars in thousands):

	2011		2010	\$ Change	% Change	
Revolvers	\$	18,482	\$ 18,062	\$ 420	2.3%	
Pistols		24,656	16,670	7,986	47.9%	
Walther		8,303	10,472	(2,169)	-20.7%	
Tactical Rifles		7,628	10,369	(2,741)	-26.4%	
Premium Products		5,659	4,603	1,056	22.9%	
Hunting Firearms		6,898	6,027	871	14.5%	
Parts & Accessories		3,519	3,883	(364)	-9.4%	
Total Firearms		75,145	70,086	 5,059	7.2%	
Handcuffs		1,137	1,569	(432)	-27.5%	
Specialty Services		1,667	932	735	78.9%	
Other		1,289	2,147	(858)	-40.0%	
Non-Firearms		4,093	 4,648	 (555)	-11.9%	
Total Firearm Division		79,238	 74,734	 4,504	6.0%	
Perimeter Security Division		10,099	 16,237	 (6,138)	-37.8%	
Total Net Product and Services Sales	\$	89,337	\$ 90,971	\$ (1,634)	-1.8%	

Net sales for the three-month period ended January 31, 2011 decreased from the comparable quarter last year because of the reduction in revenue in our perimeter security division driven primarily by competitive pressures and reduced or delayed demand because of federal and corporate budget constraints. In our firearm division, revolver sales increased 2.3% from the comparable quarter last year on the impact of sales of our new BODYGUARD 38 revolver. Pistol sales increased 47.9%, driven by an increase in consumer demand on our Sigma pistols due to our price repositioning strategy and increased promotional activity. In addition, our BODYGUARD 380 pistol, a new product introduced late in fiscal 2010, continues to have significant market demand. Walther product sales were down 20.7% as a result of increased competition in the .380 and .22 caliber product lines. Tactical rifle sales have been impacted by a reduction in the strong consumer demand that permeated the market subsequent to the November 2008 presidential election and, as a result, sales of tactical rifles declined 26.4% from the comparable quarter last year. Premium product sales increased 22.9%, primarily driven by the continued success of our Pro Series handguns and the introduction of our matched set of an engraved BODYGUARD revolver and pistol. Hunting products were ahead of the comparable quarter last year on increased black powder, rimfire, and bolt action product sales. The decline in parts and accessories sales also was as a result of the decline in consumer demand.

The order backlog as of January 31, 2011 was \$92,804,000, of which \$73,840,000 related to firearms, with the balance attributed to perimeter security. The firearm order backlog was \$5,092,000 lower than at the end of the comparable quarter last year, although the backlog for the comparable quarter last year was on a downward trend as a result of the slowing strong consumer demand that permeated the market subsequent to the November 2008 presidential election. As compared with the prior quarter ended October 31, 2010, firearm order backlog increased \$41,397,000 during the three months ended January 31, 2011 as a result of strong distributor ordering patterns and new product launches while perimeter security backlog decreased \$7,042,000 as a result of the economic issues noted above.

Sales into our sporting goods distribution channel were approximately \$68,822,000 for the three months ended January 31, 2011, an increase of 17.0% from the comparable quarter last year. Law enforcement firearm sales of \$5,086,000 were 27.9% lower than in the comparable quarter last year, which included large orders from the Detroit and West Virginia police departments. Federal government firearm sales of \$533,000 were 42.2% lower than in the comparable quarter last year. International firearm sales for the three months ended January 31, 2011 of \$4,224,000 decreased 42.9% from the comparable quarter last year. Perimeter security corporate sales were \$2,251,000 while federal government sales were \$6,651,000 for the three months ended January 31, 2011. The remaining perimeter security sales related to state and local government and transportation customers.

The following table sets forth certain information relating to net product and services sales for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 (dollars in thousands):

	2011		2010		\$ Change	% Change
Revolvers	\$	56,589	\$ 56,546	\$	43	0.1%
Pistols		64,006	64,390		(384)	-0.6%
Walther		23,889	29,937		(6,048)	-20.2%
Tactical Rifles		23,690	48,135		(24,445)	-50.8%
Premium Products		16,317	13,690		2,627	19.2%
Hunting Firearms		30,122	26,026		4,096	15.7%
Parts & Accessories		13,217	15,226		(2,009)	-13.2%
Total Firearms		227,830	 253,950		(26,120)	-10.3%
Handcuffs		3,413	3,802		(389)	-10.2%
Specialty Services		4,805	4,109		696	16.9%
Other		4,518	 5,830		(1,312)	-22.5%
Non-Firearms		12,736	13,741		(1,005)	-7.3%
Total Firearm Division		240,566	267,691		(27,125)	-10.1%
Perimeter Security Division		39,976	34,687		5,289	15.2%
Total Net Product and Services Sales	\$	280,542	\$ 302,378	\$	(21,836)	-7.2%

Net sales for the nine-month period ended January 31, 2011 decreased from the comparable period last year as ordering returned to more normal levels as compared with the strong consumer demand that occurred after the November 2008 presidential election. Revolver and pistol sales were flat from the comparable period last year on reduced volume in J Frame products to the consumer market as well as reduced international shipments related to our investigation of the DOJ matter, offset by increased sales of Sigma pistols, BODYGUARD 380 pistols, and BODYGUARD 38 revolver. Walther product sales were down 20.2% due to increased competition in the small frame and concealed carry product lines. Tactical rifle sales, the product line most impacted by the decline in consumer demand, declined 50.8% from the comparable period last year. Premium product sales increased 19.2%, primarily driven by the continued success of our Pro Series handguns and the introduction of our matched set of an engraved BODYGUARD revolver and pistol. Hunting products were 15.7% ahead of the comparable period last year on increased black powder, rimfire, and bolt-action product sales. The decline in parts and accessories sales correlated with the decline in consumer demand. The increase in perimeter security sales resulted from the fact that the comparable period last year included only the approximately six-and-a-half months subsequent to our July 20, 2009 acquisition of USR. Including pre-acquisition sales for the comparable period, perimeter security sales were slightly below the comparable period last year due to factors associated with the ongoing economic downturn and reduced federal and corporate funding.

Sales into our sporting goods distribution channel were approximately \$205,267,000 for the nine months ended January 31, 2011, a decrease of 5.6% from the comparable period last year as a result of the decrease in tactical rifle demand. Law enforcement firearm sales of \$16,716,000 were 24.5% lower than in the comparable period last year. Federal government firearm sales of \$2,260,000 were down \$846,000, or 27.2%, from the comparable period last year. International firearm sales of \$14,861,000 for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 decreased 37.0% from the comparable period last year. Perimeter security corporate sales were \$6,611,000 while federal government sales were \$30,376,000 for the nine months ended January 31, 2011. The remaining perimeter security sales related to state and local government and transportation customers.

## Cost of Products and Services Sold and Gross Profit

The following table sets forth certain information regarding cost of products and services sold and gross profit for the three months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 (dollars in thousands):

Total Company	2011		2010		\$ Change		% Change
Cost of products and services sold	\$	67,792	\$	63,645	\$	4,147	6.5%
% of net revenue		75.9%		70.0%			
Gross profit	\$	21,545	\$	27,326	\$	(5,781)	-21.2%
% of net revenue		24.1%		30.0%			

The following table sets forth certain information regarding cost of products and services sold and gross profit for our firearm division for the three months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 (dollars in thousands):

Firearm Division	2011	2010	\$ (	Change	% Change
Cost of products and services sold	\$ 59,847	\$ 51,910	\$	7,937	15.3%
% of net revenue	75.5%	69.5%			
Gross profit	\$ 19,391	\$ 22,824	\$	(3,433)	-15.0%
% of net revenue	24.5%	30.5%			

Gross profit in our firearm division for the three months ended January 31, 2011 decreased from the comparable quarter last year because of productivity and efficiency issues at our Rochester, New Hampshire facility, \$802,000 of costs related to our plan to move production of our hunting product line to our Springfield, Massachusetts facility, and price protection granted to distributors on inventory in stock when we announced our price repositioning strategy during the quarter. The reduction in gross profit as a percentage of sales can also be attributed to the price repositioning initiatives as well as increased promotion costs of \$2,336,000 over the comparable quarter last year.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding cost of products and services sold and gross profit for our perimeter security division for the three months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 (dollars in thousands):

Perimeter Security Division	2011	2010	\$ (	Change	% Change
Cost of products and services sold	\$ 7,945	\$ 11,735	\$	(3,790)	-32.3%
% of net revenue	78.7%	72.3%			
Gross profit	\$ 2,154	\$ 4,502	\$	(2,348)	-52.2%
% of net revenue	21.3%	27.7%			

Gross profit in our perimeter security division for the three months ended January 31, 2011 decreased from the comparable quarter last year because of the reduction in sales and reduced margins on several large ongoing jobs. In addition, gross profit as a percentage of net sales decreased from the comparable quarter last year as a result of the lower volume which did not cover as much fixed overhead.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding cost of products and services sold and gross profit for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 (dollars in thousands):

Total Company	2011	2010	\$ Change	% Change
Cost of products and services sold	\$ 198,377	\$ 203,475	\$ (5,098)	-2.5%
% of net revenue	70.7%	67.3%		
Gross profit	\$ 82,165	\$ 98,903	\$ (16,738)	-16.9%
% of net revenue	29.3%	32.7%		

The following table sets forth certain information regarding cost of products and services sold and gross profit for our firearm division for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 (dollars in thousands):

Firearm Division	2011	2010	\$ Change	% Change
Cost of products and services sold	\$ 167,118	\$ 177,982	\$ (10,864)	-6.1%
% of net revenue	69.5%	66.5%		
Gross profit	\$ 73,448	\$ 89,709	\$ (16,261)	-18.1%
% of net revenue	30.5%	33.5%		

Gross profit in our firearm division for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 decreased from the comparable period last year because of the decrease in sales as well as a number of factors impacting margin. Gross profit as a percentage of net sales decreased from the comparable period last year because of unfavorable absorption attributed to lower production volume, increased promotion costs of \$4,924,000 offered to stimulate sales, the impact of price protection on distributor inventory, and costs associated with our plan to move production of our hunting product line to our Springfield, Massachusetts facility.

## **Table of Contents**

The following table sets forth certain information regarding cost of products and services sold and gross profit for our perimeter security division for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 (dollars in thousands):

Perimeter Security Division	2011	2010	\$ (	Change	% Change
Cost of products and services sold	\$ 31,259	\$ 25,493	\$	5,766	22.6%
% of net revenue	78.2%	73.5%			
Gross profit	\$ 8,717	\$ 9,194	\$	(477)	-5.2%
% of net revenue	21.8%	26.5%			

Gross profit in our perimeter security division for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 decreased from the comparable period last year even though there was an increase in revenue associated with a full nine months of ownership in the current period versus approximately six-and-a-half months in the prior comparable period. Gross profit as a percentage of net sales decreased from the comparable period last year because of a variety of reasons, including jobs bid that were completed significantly below expected margins, competitive pressures, and delayed or reduced demand for projects due to federal and corporate budgetary pressures.

## **Operating Expenses**

The following table sets forth certain information regarding operating expenses for the three months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 (dollars in thousands):

Total Company	2011		2010		\$ Change		% Change
Research and development	\$	1,433	\$	1,166	\$	267	22.9%
Sales and marketing		9,573		8,703		870	10.0%
General and administrative		15,135		13,221		1,914	14.5%
Impairment of long-lived assets		51,008				51,008	100.0%
Operating expenses	\$	77,149	\$	23,090	\$	54,059	234.1%
% of net revenue		86.4%		25.4%			

The following table sets forth certain information regarding operating expenses for our firearm division for the three months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 (dollars in thousands):

Firearm Division	2011	2010	\$ C	Change	% Change
Research and development	\$ 1,207	\$ 1,126	\$	81	7.2%
Sales and marketing	8,921	8,672		249	2.9%
General and administrative	11,203	10,145		1,058	10.4%
Operating expenses	\$ 21,331	\$ 19,943	\$	1,388	7.0%
% of net revenue	26.9%	26.7%			

Operating expenses in our firearm division for the three months ended January 31, 2011 increased over the comparable quarter last year because of spending on our investigation of the DOJ and SEC matters and, to a lesser extent, on improvements made to our customer acceptance process in foreign markets, which totaled \$3,171,000. These costs were offset by lower profit sharing expense.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding operating expenses for our perimeter security division for the three months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 (dollars in thousands):

Perimeter Security Division	2011		2010		Change	% Change
Research and development	\$	226	\$ 40	\$	186	465.0%
Sales and marketing		652	31		621	2003.2%
General and administrative		3,932	3,076		856	27.8%
Impairment of long-lived assets		51,008	 		51,008	100.0%
Operating expenses	\$	55,818	\$ 3,147	\$	52,671	1673.7%
% of net revenue		552.7%	19.4%			

Excluding the impact of the impairment of long-lived assets described above, operating expenses in our perimeter security division for the three months ended January 31, 2011 increased \$1,663,000, or 52.8%, over the comparable quarter last year because of an increase in sales and management support functions.

## **Table of Contents**

The following table sets forth certain information regarding operating expenses for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 (dollars in thousands):

Total Company	2011		2010		\$ Change		% Change
Research and development	\$	3,730	\$	3,087	\$	643	20.8%
Sales and marketing		28,176		24,208		3,968	16.4%
General and administrative		45,533		38,159		7,374	19.3%
Impairment of long-lived assets		90,503				90,503	100.0%
Operating expenses	\$	167,942	\$	65,454	\$	102,488	156.6%
% of net revenue		59.9%		21.6%			

The following table sets forth certain information regarding operating expenses for our firearm division for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 (dollars in thousands):

Firearm Division	2011	2010	\$ (	Change	% Change
Research and development	\$ 3,099	\$ 3,002	\$	97	3.2%
Sales and marketing	26,206	23,959		2,247	9.4%
General and administrative	34,344	32,188		2,156	6.7%
Operating expenses	\$ 63,649	\$ 59,149	\$	4,500	7.6%
% of net revenue	26.5%	22.1%			

Operating expenses in our firearm division for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 increased over the comparable period last year because of spending on our investigation of the DOJ and SEC matters and, to a lesser extent, on improvements made to our customer acceptance process for foreign sales, which totaled \$9,106,000. In addition, \$839,000 of severance and \$682,000 of increased bad debt expense related to international sales were partially offset by \$2,999,000 of reduced management incentives, \$3,419,000 of reduced profit sharing, and \$1,403,000 of lower stock option expense due to the reversal of performance shares.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding operating expenses for our perimeter security division for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 (dollars in thousands):

Perimeter Security Division	2011		2010		\$ Change		% Change
Research and development	\$	631	\$	85	\$	546	642.4%
Sales and marketing		1,970		249		1,721	691.2%
General and administrative		11,189		5,971		5,218	87.4%
Impairment of long-lived assets		90,503				90,503	100.0%
Operating expenses	\$	104,293	\$	6,305	\$	97,988	1554.1%
% of net revenue		260.9%		18.2%			

Excluding the impact of the impairment of long-lived assets discussed above, operating expenses in our perimeter security division for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 increased \$7,485,000 over the comparable period last year as a result of a full nine months of ownership in fiscal 2011 versus approximately six-and-a-half months in fiscal 2010. In addition, the current period reflects an increase in sales and management support functions.

### Income/(Loss) from Operations

The following table sets forth certain information regarding income/(loss) from operations for the three months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 (dollars in thousands):

Total Company	2011		2010		\$ Change		% Change
Income/(loss) from operations	\$	(55,604)	\$	4,236	\$	(59,840)	-1412.7%
% of net revenue		-62.2%		4.7%			

The following table sets forth certain information regarding income/(loss) from operations for our firearm division for the three months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 (dollars in thousands):

Firearm Division	2011		2010		\$ Change		% Change
Income/(loss) from operations	\$	(1,939)	\$	2,881	\$	(4,820)	-167.3%
% of net revenue		-2.4%		3.9%			

The reduction in income from operations in our firearm division for the three months ended January 31, 2011 as compared with the comparable quarter last year resulted from decreased sales and corresponding gross profit as well as increased spending related to the DOJ and SEC matters and costs associated with moving production of our hunting product line.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding income/(loss) from operations for our perimeter security division for the three months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 (dollars in thousands):

Perimeter Security Division	2011	2010	\$ Change	% Change
Income/(loss) from operations	\$ (53,665)	1,355	\$ (55,020)	-4060.5%
% of net revenue	-531.4%	8.3%		

The effect of decreased sales and gross profit in our perimeter security division during the three months ended January 31, 2011 was combined with a \$51,008,000 impairment of long-lived assets and the expense of building out the management and sales teams to support the growth of our perimeter security business resulted in a significant loss from operations.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding income/(loss) from operations for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 (dollars in thousands):

Total Company	2011		2010		Change	% Change
Income/(loss) from operations	\$ (85,777)	\$	33,449	\$	(119,226)	-356.4%
% of net revenue	-30.6%		11.1%			

The following table sets forth certain information regarding income from operations for our firearm division for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 (dollars in thousands):

Firearm Division	2011		2010		\$ Change		% Change
Income from operations	\$	9,799	\$	30,560	\$	(20,761)	-67.9%
% of net revenue		4.1%		11.4%			

Income from operations in our firearm division for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 was significantly lower than for the comparable period last year because of lower sales, a decreased gross profit margin, increased spending related to the DOJ and SEC matters, and costs associated with moving production of our hunting product line.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding income/(loss) from operations for our perimeter security division for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 (dollars in thousands):

Perimeter Security Division	2011		2010		\$ Change	% Change
Income/(loss) from operations	\$	(95,576)	\$	2,889	\$ (98,465)	-3408.3%
% of net revenue		-239.1%		8.3%		

The effect of decreased sales and gross profit in our perimeter security division during the nine months ended January 31, 2011 combined with a \$90,503,000 impairment of long-lived assets and the expense of building out the management and sales teams to support the growth of our perimeter security business resulted in a significant loss from operations.

#### Other (Expense)/Income

The following table sets forth certain information regarding other income for the three months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 (dollars in thousands):

	2011		2010		\$ Change		% Change
Other (expense)/income	\$	(468)	\$	977	\$	(1,445)	-147.9%

Other (expense)/income for the three month period ended January 31, 2011 had no fair value adjustments related to the contingent consideration recorded in connection with our acquisition of USR, a \$1,304,000 reduction from the amount recorded during the comparable quarter last year. In addition, during the three months ended January 31, 2011, we recorded a \$497,000 unrealized loss on foreign currency hedges.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding other income for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 (dollars in thousands):

	2011		2010		\$ Change		% Change	
Other income	\$	3,778	\$	11,464	\$	(7,686)	-67.0%	

Other income for the nine month period ended January 31, 2011 included \$3,060,000 in fair value adjustments related to the contingent consideration recorded in connection with our acquisition of USR, an \$8,608,000 reduction from the amount recorded during the comparable period last year. In addition, during the nine months ended January 31, 2011, we recorded a \$619,000 unrealized gain on foreign currency hedges.

### Interest Expense

The following table sets forth certain information regarding interest expense for the three months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 (dollars in thousands):

	 2011		2010		hange	% Change
Interest expense	\$ 1,453	\$	1,235	\$	218	17.7%

Interest expense increased for the three months ended January 31, 2011 from the comparable quarter last year because of increased debt issue costs related to the increased line of credit and note exchange. During the period, we amortized \$232,000 of deferred finance costs associated with debt extinguishment.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding interest expense for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 (dollars in thousands):

	2011		2010		\$ Change		% Change
Interest expense	\$	3,659	\$	3,757	\$	(98)	-2.6%

Interest expense decreased for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 from the comparable period last year because of reduced long-term debt throughout the current period offset by increased debt issue costs related to the increased line of credit and note exchange.

### **Income Taxes**

The following table sets forth certain information regarding income tax (benefit)/expense for the three months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 (dollars in thousands):

	 2011	2	010	\$ (	Change	% Change
Income tax (benefit)/expense	\$ (4,639)	\$	953	\$	(5,592)	-586.8%

Income tax expense decreased as a result of the decrease in operating profit.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding income tax expense for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 (dollars in thousands):

	2011		2010		Change	% Change	
Income tax (benefit)/expense	\$	(1,553)	\$	11,645	\$	(13,198)	-113.3%

Income tax expense decreased as a result of the decrease in operating profit. The effective rates for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 were 47.68% and 38.53%, respectively. The effective tax rate excludes discrete items such as the impairment of long-lived assets and the valuation of the USR earn-out. The significantly higher effective tax rate as compared with the same period last year was primarily due to a reduction in the expected domestic production activity credit resulting from the net operating loss position as well as the impact of our inability to net our perimeter security division's state net operating losses against our firearm division's net income. Incremental income for the remainder of fiscal 2011 will be taxed in the 38.0% to 39.0% range.

#### Net Income/(Loss)

The following table sets forth certain information regarding net income/(loss) and the related per share data for the three months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 (dollars in thousands, except share data):

	2011		2010		Change	% Change
Net income/(loss)	\$ (52,836)	\$	3,116	\$	(55,952)	-1795.6%
Net income/(loss) per share						
Basic	\$ (0.88)	\$	0.05	\$	(0.93)	-1860.0%
Diluted	(0.88)		0.05		(0.93)	-1860.0%

The decrease in net income/(loss) for the three months ended January 31, 2011 from the three months ended January 31, 2010 resulted from the impairment charge recorded as well as lower sales, a decreased gross profit margin, increased investment in the sales and management structure at our perimeter security division, increased operating expenditures related to the DOJ and SEC matters, and costs associated with the relocation plan for our hunting product line. The impairment charge had a \$0.80 negative impact on basic and fully diluted earnings per share for the three months ended January 31, 2011.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding net income/(loss) and the related per share data for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 (dollars in thousands, except share data):

	2011		2010		Change	% Change
Net income/(loss)	\$ (83,909)	\$	29,844	\$	(113,753)	-381.2%
Net income/(loss) per share						
Basic	\$ (1.40)	\$	0.52	\$	(1.92)	-369.2%
Diluted	(1.40)		0.48		(1.88)	-391.7%

The \$90,503,000 impairment charge recorded during the nine months impacted net income per basic and diluted share by \$1.45. The remaining decrease resulted from the same impacts as affected the three months ended January 31, 2011.

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our principal cash requirements are to finance the growth of our operations, including acquisitions, and to service our existing debt. Capital expenditures for new products, capacity expansion, and process improvements represent important operational cash needs.

The following table sets forth certain information relative to cash flow for the nine months ended January 31, 2011 and 2010 (dollars in thousands):

	2011		2010		\$ Change		% Change
Operating activities	\$	178	\$	13,628	\$	(13,450)	-98.7%
Investing activities		(7,291)		(32,244)		24,953	-77.4%
Financing activities		(148)		15,839		(15,987)	-100.9%
Total	\$	(7,261)	\$	(2,777)	\$	(4,484)	161.5%

On an annual basis, operating activities represent the principal source of our cash flow; however, seasonal factors sometimes require us to incur short-term borrowings for operating and investing activities. Due to the cyclical nature of the hunting business, we typically expect to use cash resources in operations during our first fiscal quarter with future quarters typically covering this early cash usage.

In the first nine months of fiscal 2011, we generated \$178,000 in cash from operating activities, a decrease of \$13,450,000 from the amount we generated in the first nine months of fiscal 2010. The impact of the current period's reduced volume and increased operating expenditures combined with a greater increase in inventory on a year-to-date basis were only partially offset by an improved accounts receivable position. During the nine months ended January 31, 2011, inventory increased \$5,466,000 versus a \$1,960,000 decrease the prior comparable period. This increase can be attributed to the general slowing of demand in the consumer market and, to a lesser extent, new product offerings. Accounts receivable declined \$11,290,000 during the nine months ended January 31, 2011 versus a \$1,716,000 decrease in the prior comparable period caused by higher sales volume in the comparable period last year.

Excluding the impact of the \$21,074,000 in cash used to purchase USR during the nine months ended January 31, 2010, cash used for investing activities decreased by \$3,879,000 for the nine months ended January 31, 2011. This decrease in cash used for investing is entirely attributed to lower capital spending during the period. We currently expect to spend approximately \$16,000,000 on capital expenditures in fiscal 2011, a decrease of \$1,266,000 from the \$17,266,000 spent in fiscal 2010. Major capital expenditures will focus on moving equipment and processes from Rochester, New Hampshire to Springfield, Massachusetts, improving production efficiencies, tooling for new product offerings, and various projects designed to increase capacity and upgrade manufacturing technology.

On December 21, 2010, in accordance with the Economic Development Incentive Program of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, we were awarded a refundable economic incentive tax credit ("ITC") by the Economic Assistance Coordinating Council in conjunction with our plan to move production of our hunting product line. The ITC is calculated as 40% of qualified capital expenditures placed in service and allows for a refundable tax credit from the Commonwealth of Massachusetts of up to \$4,400,000 during the fiscal year ending April 30, 2011, the majority of which will be received after filing our fiscal 2011 tax return in fiscal 2012.

Cash used by financing activities was \$148,000 for the nine months ended January 31, 2011. In May 2009, we completed a stock offering of 6,000,000 shares of our common stock, which yielded net proceeds of \$35,082,000. Partially offsetting these proceeds was the payment of \$14,350,000 of outstanding debt that had been on USR's books at the time of the acquisition. We had no short-term bank borrowings at January 31, 2011 or January 31, 2010. As of January 31, 2011, we had \$32,594,000 in cash and cash equivalents on hand including restricted cash of \$5,812,000.

On December 15, 2006, we issued an aggregate of \$80,000,000 of Convertible Notes maturing on December 15, 2026 to qualified institutional buyers pursuant to the terms and conditions of a securities purchase agreement and indenture. We used the net proceeds from the Convertible Notes, together with \$28,000,000 from our acquisition line of credit, to fund our acquisition of Thompson/Center Arms.

The Convertible Notes bear interest at a rate of 4% per annum payable on June 15 and December 15 of each year. Holders of the Convertible Notes may require us to repurchase all or part of their Convertible Notes on December 15, 2011, December 15, 2016, or December 15, 2021 and in the event of a fundamental change in our company, as defined in the indenture governing the Convertible Notes. The Convertible Notes were convertible into shares of our common stock, initially at a conversion rate of 81.0636 shares per \$1,000 principal amount, or a total of 6,485,084 shares, which is equivalent to an initial conversion price of \$12.336 per share. As of January 31, 2011, taking into account the January 14 Exchange, the remaining outstanding Convertible Notes are convertible into a total of 4,608,058 shares. The Convertible Notes may be converted at any time. Until December 15, 2011, we may redeem all or a portion of the Convertible Notes at the redemption price of 100% of the principal amount of the Convertible Notes plus accrued and unpaid interest only if the closing price of our common stock exceeds 150% of the then applicable conversion price of the Convertible Notes for no fewer than 20 trading days in any period of 30 consecutive trading days. After December 15, 2011, we may redeem all or a portion of the Convertible Notes. As noted below, we have exchanged a total of \$50,000,000 of the Convertible Notes for \$50,000,000 of Senior Notes. The exchange transaction is now complete and we will use our existing credit facility to address the remaining \$30,000,000 of Convertible Notes which may be put to the Company on December 15, 2011.

The Convertible Notes are our general unsecured obligations, ranking senior in right of payment to our subordinated indebtedness and ranking pari passu with all other unsecured and unsubordinated indebtedness. Until such time that the closing price of our common stock exceeds 200% of the then applicable conversion price of the Convertible Notes for at least 30 trading days in any period of 40 consecutive trading days, we agreed not to incur any additional indebtedness in excess of the greater of (1) \$60,000,000 available under our credit facility, and (2) three times LTM EBITDA (as defined in the indenture governing the Convertible Notes) at the time such additional debt is incurred and including any amounts outstanding under our credit facility.

On January 14, 2011, we issued an aggregate of \$23,155,000 of our new Senior Notes to two investors in exchange for \$23,155,000 of the Convertible Notes pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Exchange Agreement and the Senior Notes Indenture. On February 10, 2011 and March 3, 2011, we issued an aggregate of \$16,788,000 and \$10,057,000, respectively, of Senior Notes to additional investors in exchange for \$16,788,000 and \$10,057,000, respectively, of the Convertible Notes pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Supplemental Exchange Agreements and the Senior Notes Indenture. As a result, we have exchanged a total of \$50,000,000 of the Convertible Notes for \$50,000,000 of Senior Notes.

The Senior Notes bear interest at a rate of 9.5% per annum payable on June 15 and December 15 of each year.

At any time prior to January 14, 2014, we may, at our option (a) redeem all or a portion of the Senior Notes at a redemption price of 100% of the principal amount of the Senior Notes, plus an applicable premium, plus accrued and unpaid interest as of the redemption date, or (b) redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the Senior Notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest as of the redemption date; provided that in the case of the clause (b) above, at least 65% of the aggregate original principal amount of the Senior Notes remains outstanding, and the redemption occurs within 60 days after the closing of the equity offering. On and after January 14, 2014, we may, at our option, redeem all or a portion of the Senior Notes at the redemption price of (1) 104.75% of the principal amount of the Senior Notes to be redeemed, if redeemed during the 12-month period beginning on January 14, 2014; or (2) 100% of the principal amount of the Senior Notes to be redeemed, if redeemed, if redeemed during the 12-month period beginning on January 14, 2015, plus, in either case, accrued and unpaid interest on the Senior Notes as of the applicable redemption date. Subject to certain restrictions and conditions, we may be required to make an offer to repurchase the Senior Notes from the holders of the Senior Notes in connection with a change of control or disposition of assets. If not redeemed by us or repaid pursuant to the holders' right to require repurchase, the Senior Notes mature on January 14, 2016.

The Senior Notes are general unsecured obligations of our company. The Senior Notes Indenture contains certain affirmative and negative covenants, including limitations on restricted payments, limitations on indebtedness, limitations on the sale of assets, and limitations on liens.

The limitation on indebtedness in the Senior Notes Indenture is only applicable at such time that the consolidated coverage ratio (as set forth in the Senior Notes Indenture) for us and our restricted subsidiaries is less than 2.00 to 1.00. In general, as set forth in the Senior Notes Indenture, the consolidated coverage ratio is determined by comparing our prior four quarters' consolidated EBITDA (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization) to our consolidated interest expense.

Given the restrictions on additional indebtedness under the indenture governing the Convertible Notes and the Senior Notes Indenture, any future acquisitions may have to be financed through other means. Our future capital requirements will depend on many factors, including our rate of growth, the timing and extent of new product introductions, the expansion of sales and marketing activities, and the amount and timing of acquisitions of other companies. We cannot assure you that further equity or debt financing will be available to us on acceptable terms or at all. We believe that the available borrowings under our credit facilities are adequate for our current needs and at least for the next 12 months.

#### **Other Matters**

#### **Critical Accounting Policies**

The preparation of financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of income and expenses during the reporting period. Significant accounting policies are disclosed in Note 4 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2010. The most significant areas involving our judgments and estimates are described in the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2010, to which there have been no material changes. Actual results could differ from estimates made.

#### **Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

The nature and impact of recent accounting pronouncements is discussed in Note 17 to our consolidated financial statements commencing on page 25 of this report, which is incorporated herein by reference.

#### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

On May 7, 2010, we entered into 11 euro time option forward contracts totaling 12,900,000 euros at an average conversion of 1.276, or approximately \$16,460,000. We enter into this type of contract to minimize the fluctuations in exchange rates when purchasing finished goods and components from a European supplier. Forward contracts provide full protection against the depreciation of the U.S. dollar. The last of the option contracts expires on April 30, 2011. The value of the individual contracts fluctuates based on estimated monthly purchases from our European supplier. As of January 31, 2011, we had three forward contracts outstanding totaling 4,550,000 Euros, or approximately \$5,752,000, that will expire in varying rates between 1,450,000 and 1,600,000 euros per month through April 30, 2011. During the three months ending January 31, 2011, we experienced a net gain of \$220,000 on hedging transactions that were executed during the period. During the nine months ending January 31, 2011, we experienced a net gain of \$7,000 on hedging transactions that were executed during the period. The fair market value of outstanding derivatives was an asset of approximately \$433,000 and a liability of \$274,000 as of January 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

### Item 4. Controls and Procedures

We have carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. As defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act, disclosure controls and procedures are controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. We formed a disclosure committee in the fall of 2002 that includes senior financial, operational, and legal personnel charged with assisting the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer in overseeing the accuracy and timeliness of the periodic reports filed under the Exchange Act and in evaluating regularly our disclosure controls and procedures.

Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of January 31, 2011, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective at a reasonable assurance level in that they were reasonably designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act (i) is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC, and (ii) is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

#### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The nature of legal proceedings against us is discussed in Note 15 to our consolidated financial statements commencing on page 18 of this report, which is incorporated herein by reference.

## PROTECTION OF LAWFUL COMMERCE IN ARMS ACT

On October 26, 2005, President George W. Bush signed into law the Protection of Lawful Commerce in Arms Act ("PLCAA"). The PLCAA is designed to prohibit civil liability actions from being brought or continued against manufacturers, distributors, dealers, or importers of firearms or ammunition for damages, injunctions, or other relief resulting from the misuse of their products by others. The legislation provides that any qualified civil liability action pending on the date of the enactment of the legislation shall be immediately dismissed, and it precludes similar cases from being brought in the future. The legislation excludes from the definition of a qualified civil liability action for death, physical injuries, or property damages resulting directly from a defect in design or manufacture of the product when it is used as intended or in a reasonably foreseeable manner, except that where the discharge of the product was caused by a volitional act that constituted a criminal offense, then such action will be considered the sole proximate cause of any resulting death, personal injuries, or property damage. Because it is impossible to predict with certainty how any court will interpret or apply the legislation to a given set of facts, we cannot predict with any certainty the impact that the PLCAA will ultimately have on the pending cases.

# **Table of Contents**

# Item 6. Exhibits

- 31.1 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Principal Executive Officer
- 31.2 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Principal Financial Officer
- 32.1 Section 1350 Certification of Principal Executive Officer
- 32.2 Section 1350 Certification of Principal Financial Officer

# SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

SMITH & WESSON HOLDING CORPORATION, a Nevada corporation

By: /s/ MICHAEL F. GOLDEN Michael F. Golden President and Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ JEFFREY D. BUCHANAN

Jeffrey D. Buchanan Chief Financial Officer

Dated: March 10, 2011

# INDEX TO EXHIBITS

- 31.1 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Principal Executive Officer
- 31.2 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Principal Financial Officer
- 32.1 Section 1350 Certification of Principal Executive Officer
- 32.2 Section 1350 Certification of Principal Financial Officer

### CERTIFICATION

I, Michael F. Golden, President and Chief Executive Officer, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Smith & Wesson Holding Corporation;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

By: /s/ MICHAEL F. GOLDEN

Michael F. Golden President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: March 10, 2011

#### CERTIFICATION

I, Jeffrey D. Buchanan, Chief Financial Officer, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Smith & Wesson Holding Corporation;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

By: /s/ JEFFREY D. BUCHANAN

Jeffrey D. Buchanan Chief Financial Officer

Date: March 10, 2011

## CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Smith & Wesson Holding Corporation (the "Company") for the quarterly period ended January 31, 2011 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Michael F. Golden, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, to the best of my knowledge and belief, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

(i) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m(a) or 78o(d)); and

(ii) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

By: /s/ MICHAEL F. GOLDEN Michael F. Golden President and Chief Executive Officer

Dated: March 10, 2011

## CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Smith & Wesson Holding Corporation (the "Company") for the quarterly period ended January 31, 2011 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Jeffrey D. Buchanan, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, to the best of my knowledge and belief, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

(i) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m(a) or 78o(d)); and

(ii) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

By: <u>/s/ JEFFREY D. BUCHANAN</u> Jeffrey D. Buchanan Chief Financial Officer

Dated: March 10, 2011